



ISAKOS
CONGRESS
2025



MUNICH
GERMANY
June 8-11

Risk Factors for Conversion to Total Hip Arthroplasty After Hip Arthroscopy in Patients Over 40 Years Old

Presenter: Steven Chen-Heng Hsu
Authors: Kai-Hsiang Yang,
Chun-Ting Chu, Yi-Shen Chan



Faculty Disclosure Information

Nothing to disclose



ISAKOS
CONGRESS
2025



MUNICH
GERMANY
June 8–11



Introduction

Hip arthroscopy is a minimally invasive approach for treating hip pathologies

Patients >40 years old have a higher risk of conversion to THA

Identifying risk factors may improve patient selection and surgical planning



ISAKOS
CONGRESS
2025



MUNICH
GERMANY
June 8-11



Study Aim & Hypothesis

Aim: Identify preoperative and intraoperative risk factors associated with conversion to THA

Hypothesis: Certain radiographic and intraoperative findings increase THA risk



ISAKOS
CONGRESS
2025



MUNICH
GERMANY
June 8-11



Study Design & Methods

Retrospective cohort study (2013–2023)

211 patients (>40 years old), single surgeon

Preop: LCEA, acetabular angle, Tönnis grade, ADWR

Intraop: Chondral damage, ligamentum teres tears

Outcome: THA conversion

Analysis: Logistic regression, ROC curve



ISAKOS
CONGRESS
2025



MUNICH
GERMANY
June 8–11



Patient Characteristics

211 patients (Mean Age: 55.6 years, 63% Female)

98 right hips, 111 left hips, 2 bilateral

THA conversion rate: 7.5% (16 patients)

Mean time to conversion: 33.8 months



ISAKOS
CONGRESS
2025



MUNICH
GERMANY
June 8-11



Key Radiographic Findings

- ↓ LCEA associated with THA conversion ($p = 0.015$)
 - Conversion: 23.4° vs. Success: 27.2°
- ↑ Acetabular angle in conversion group ($p = 0.004$)
 - Conversion: 42.4° vs. Success: 39.5°



ISAKOS
CONGRESS
2025



MUNICH
GERMANY
June 8-11



Intraoperative Findings

Ligamentum teres tears more common in THA conversion group ($p = 0.019$)

- Conversion: 38% vs. Success: 19%



ISAKOS
CONGRESS
2025



MUNICH
GERMANY
June 8-11



Logistic Regression & ROC

Independent risk factors:

- Lower LCEA (OR = 0.804, $p = 0.012$)
- Increased acetabular angle (OR = 1.144, $p = 0.009$)
- Ligamentum teres tears (OR = 1.277, $p = 0.033$)

ROC Analysis: AUC = 0.87 (strong predictive accuracy)



ISAKOS
CONGRESS
2025



MUNICH
GERMANY
June 8-11

Patient-Reported Outcomes

Success Group:

- mHHS: 60.29 → 81.28
- HOS: 42.27 → 79.51
- VAS: 6.04 → 1.89

THA Conversion Group:

- mHHS: 60.44 → 81.06
- HOS: 42.25 → 77.88
- VAS: 6.06 → 2.06

HOS significant but minimal clinical relevance



ISAKOS
CONGRESS
2025



MUNICH
GERMANY
June 8-11



Discussion

LCEA & Acetabular Angle

Markers of acetabular dysplasia & instability

Ligamentum Teres Tears

Associated with microinstability & joint degeneration

Clinical Implications

Identifying high-risk patients optimizes surgical decisions



ISAKOS
CONGRESS
2025



MUNICH
GERMANY
June 8-11



Conclusion

↓ LCEA, ↑ acetabular angle, ligamentum teres tears
predict THA conversion

Preop risk stratification improves patient selection

Future research: Long-term outcomes & alternative strategies



ISAKOS
CONGRESS
2025



MUNICH
GERMANY
June 8-11

References

- *Kyin C, Maldonado DR, Go CC, Shapira J, Lall AC, Domb BG. Mid- to Long-Term Outcomes of Hip Arthroscopy: A Systematic Review. Arthroscopy. 2021;37:1011-1025.*
- *Maldonado DR, Diulus SC, Shapira J, et al. Hip Arthroscopic Surgery in the Context of Femoroacetabular Impingement Syndrome, Labral Tear, and Acetabular Overcoverage: Minimum 5-Year Outcomes With a Subanalysis Against Patients Without Overcoverage. Am J Sports Med. 2021;49:55-65*
- *Domb BG, Owens JS, Lall AC, Harris WT, Kuhns BD. Ten-Year Outcomes in Patients Aged 40 Years and Older After Primary Arthroscopic Treatment of Femoroacetabular Impingement With Labral Repair. Am J Sports Med. 2024;52:2740-2749.*
- *Domb BG, Annin S, Monahan PF, Lee MS, Jimenez AE, Maldonado DR. Ten-Year Survivorship, Outcomes, and Sports Participation in Athletes After Primary Hip Arthroscopy for Femoroacetabular Impingement Syndrome. Am J Sports Med. 2023;51:2383-2395.*
- *Domb BG, Chen SL, Go CC, et al. Predictors of Clinical Outcomes After Hip Arthroscopy: 5-Year Follow-up Analysis of 1038 Patients. Am J Sports Med. 2021;49:112-120.*
- *Domb BG, Martin TJ, Gui C, Chandrasekaran S, Suarez-Ahedo C, Lodhia P. Predictors of Clinical Outcomes After Hip Arthroscopy: A Prospective Analysis of 1038 Patients With 2-Year Follow-up. Am J Sports Med. 2018;46:1324-1330.*
- *Dwyer MK, Tumpowsky C, Boone A, Lee J, McCarthy JC. What Is the Association Between Articular Cartilage Damage and Subsequent THA 20 Years After Hip Arthroscopy for Labral Tears? Clin Orthop Relat Res. 2019;477:1211-1220.*
- *Perets I, Chaharbakhshi EO, Mu B, et al. Hip Arthroscopy in Patients Ages 50 Years or Older: Minimum 5-Year Outcomes, Survivorship, and Risk Factors for Conversion to Total Hip Replacement. Arthroscopy. 2018;34:3001-3009.*
- *Maldonado DR, Diulus SC, Lee MS, et al. Propensity-Matched Patients Undergoing Revision Hip Arthroscopy Older Than the Age of 40 Years Had Greater Risk of Conversion to Total Hip Arthroplasty Compared With Their Primary Counterparts. Arthroscopy. 2023;39:54-63*
- *Hohmann E. Editorial Commentary: Hip Arthroscopy Benefits Patients with Tonnis Grade 0 or 1 Hip Arthritis, and Is Not Recommended for Grade 3: Optimal Treatment of Femoroacetabular Impingement Syndrome for Grade 2 Arthritis May Be Determined By Age and Body Mass Index: Elsevier; 2024.*



ISAKOS
CONGRESS
2025



MUNICH
GERMANY
June 8-11