

The Utility of Examination under Anesthesia as a Diagnostic Test for Patellar Instability

Shital N Parikh, MD¹; Audrey C. Wimberly, MPH²; Matt Veerkamp, BA¹; Natalie K. Pahapill, BS;² JUPITER group*; Beth Shubin Stein, MD²

¹ Cincinnati Children's Hospital Medical Center, Cincinnati OH USA

² Hospital for Special Surgery, New York, NY USA

Corresponding email: shital.parikh@cchmc.org

Disclosures

- I (or my co-authors) have nothing to disclose.

Funding from:

- POSNA
- AANA
- ConMed
- University of Cincinnati
- AOSSM and OREF

JUPITER Participating Sites & Surgeons

Beth Shubin Stein	Hospital for Special Surgery
Daniel Green	Hospital for Special Surgery
Peter Fabricant	Hospital for Special Surgery
Sabrina Strickland	Hospital for Special Surgery
Shital Parikh	Cincinnati Children's
Eric Wall	Cincinnati Children's
Benton Heyworth	Boston Children's
Dennis Kramer	Boston Children's
Yi-Meng Yen	Boston Children's
Matthew Milewski	Boston Children's
Seth Sherman	Stanford University


Jason Koh	Northshore
Jackie Brady	Oregon University
Marc Tompkins	TRIA
Caitlin Chambers	TRIA
Henry Ellis	Texas Scottish Rite
Philip Wilson	Texas Scottish Rite
Robert Magnussen	Ohio State University
Lauren Redler	Columbia University
Adam Yanke	RUSH
Kosmas Kayes	OrthoIndy
Jack Farr	OrthoIndy



Introduction

- Examination under anesthesia (EUA) is considered a valuable tool that can aid in clinical diagnosis of joint instability and has been reported for knee instability, shoulder instability and elbow instability. However, the utility of EUA for evaluation of patellar instability has not been reported.
- Many consider the ability to dislocate the patella under anesthesia a confirmatory test to establish a diagnosis of patellar instability. Some consider it as a prerequisite for surgical stabilization
- The goal of this study was to evaluate the utility of examination under anesthesia (EUA) as a diagnostic tool for assessing patellar instability.

Methods

- 
- 2074 knees were prospectively enrolled in the JUPITER (Justifying Patellar Instability Treatment by Results) multi-center study from 2017-2021.
 - Patellar instability was defined as:
 - a dislocated patella requiring reduction in ED or
 - a convincing history for dislocation, associated with full giving way, and following physical findings:
 - (a) hemarthrosis / effusion,
 - (b) tenderness along medial retinaculum, and
 - (c) positive apprehension test or
 - MRI-documented dislocation with characteristic bone bruises.

Methods

- Patients treated conservative (507), those with bilateral instability (420), those with previous surgery on ipsilateral knee (187), and those lacking sufficient data (233) were excluded from analysis.
- 478 patients formed the final cohort of patients treated surgically for unilateral patellar instability
- Demographics
 - Mean age: 15.8 years (+/- 3.81)
 - Sex: 287 females (59.9%) / 191 males (39.1%)
 - Laterality: 268 left (56.1%) / 210 right (43.9%)
 - BMI: 24.4

Methods

- 137 First time dislocators had surgical treatment at mean 3.9 months post instability event.
- 340 Recurrent dislocators had surgical treatment at mean 38.2 months after their first dislocation.
- Prior to surgery and with patient under anesthesia, the patella was manually pushed laterally with submaximal force with the knee at 0° and 30°
- EUA was performed for the affected knee and the contralateral knees (control knee) to check if patella could be dislocated (positive EUA) or not (negative EUA)

Results

Knee at 0°				
	Involved Knees	Contralateral Knees	Total	
Positive EUA	245	25	270	90.7% PPV
Negative EUA	214	347	561	61.9% NPV
Total	459	372		
	53.4% Sensitivity	93.3% Specificity		
Knee at 30° flexion				
	Involved Knees	Contralateral Knees	Total	
Positive EUA	173	14	187	92.5% PPV
Negative EUA	285	361	646	55.9% NPV
Total	458	375		
	37.8% Sensitivity	96.3% Specificity		

Results

- At 0° (Knee in extension)
 - Sensitivity and Specificity of EUA to diagnose patellar dislocation was 53.4% and 93.3% respectively
 - The positive and negative predictive values were 90.7% and 61.9% respectively
- At 30° Knee flexion
 - Sensitivity and Specificity of EUA to diagnose patellar dislocation was 37.8% and 96.3% respectively
 - The positive and negative predictive values were 92.5% and 55.9% respectively

Results

- EUA at 0°

- Patients with positive EUA at 0° were significantly older ($p = 0.0312$) and a higher percentage of them were females ($p=0.0021$)
- more patients with positive EUA had five or greater number of patellar dislocations ($p = 0.0199$)
- those with positive EUA had a higher percentage of severe J-sign ($p = 0.0018$) and a higher Beighton score ($p=0.007$)
- There was no difference in BMI between the two groups

- EUA at 30°

- Significant differences were observed in patient age ($p = 0.0033$), number of dislocations ($p = 0.0011$), presence of a J-sign ($p = 0.0004$) and Beighton Score ($p = 0.038$)
- Though more females had positive EUA, the difference was not significant

Discussion / Conclusion

- For all knees with confirmed patellar dislocation, only 53.4% were able to be dislocated during EUA with knee in extension and 37.8% with the knee at 30° flexion.
- Due to low sensitivity, a negative EUA should not preclude the diagnosis of patellar instability or surgical treatment
- Due to high specificity, a positive EUA can confirm patellar instability
- Anatomic risk factors may play a role in the ability to dislocate patella during EUA but were not evaluated in this study.

References

1. Examination under Anesthesia in Patients with Recurrent Patellar Dislocation: Prognostic Study. Fathalla I, Holton J, Ashraf T. J Knee Surg. 2019 Apr;32(4):361-365.
2. The significance of arthroscopy and examination under anaesthesia in the diagnosis of fresh injury haemarthrosis of the knee joint. Harilainen A, Myllynen P, Antila H, Seitsalo S. Injury. 1988 Jan;19(1):21-4
3. Knee laxity in cruciate ligament injury. Value of examination under anesthesia. Dahlstedt LJ, Dalén N. Acta Orthop Scand.1989 Apr;60(2):181-4.
4. Methods to diagnose acute anterior cruciate ligament rupture: a meta-analysis of physical examinations with and without anaesthesia. van Eck CF, van den Bekerom MP, Fu FH, Poolman RW, Kerkhoffs GM. Knee Surg Sports Traumatol Arthrosc. 2013 Aug;21(8):1895-903



Thank you