





# **Disclosures**

#### Tanaka

- Consultant for Arthrex
- Consultant for DePuy/Mitek
- Consultant for VeryWell Health
- Consultant for Healthy Sleep
- CMO, Core2U
- Research funding from AANA
- Research funding from FujiFilm
- Research funding from Voice in Sport Foundation
- NIH R21EB031185
- NIH 1R01AR079442
- NIH 1R01AR081344





# Background

- Reported failure rates for ACL reconstruction in athletes range widely, from 5% to 34%, depending on activity level, surgical technique, and rehabilitation.
- Revision ACL reconstruction is performed after graft failure or reinjury
  - Graft survival remains relatively high, but it is lower than in primary reconstructions.
- These differences in outcomes may affect an athlete's outcomes:
  - Ability to return to pre-injury levels of sport
  - Maintainance long-term knee health and function





# Objective

• The purpose of this study was to report on long term outcomes and compare patient-reported outcomes measures (PROMs) between primary and revision ACL surgery.





#### Methods

- Patients who underwent primary or revision ACL reconstruction at our institution between 2008 and 2013 were included.
- Patients were surveyed using the following Patient-Reported Outcome Measures (PROMs):
  - Marx Activity Rating Scale (MARS)
  - International Knee Documentation Committee Subjective Knee Evaluation Form (IKDC-SKEF)
  - PROMIS-10 Global Health Questionnaire
  - Tegner Activity Scale
  - Single Assessment Numeric Evaluation (SANE)
- Demographic information, as well as records of any subsequent surgeries or new diagnoses, were collected.





### Methods

- PROMs and the incidence of subsequent surgery or diagnosis were compared between primary and revision ACL reconstruction groups.
- Chi-Square tests were used for statistical comparisons, and odds ratios (OR) were calculated for significant findings.
- Patients were defined as having an unsatisfactory outcome if their IKDC score was <75, based on the Patient-Acceptable Symptom State (PASS) threshold.





## Results

- 243 patients included in the study (224 primary ACL reconstructions, 19 revision ACL reconstructions).
- Average follow-up time: 11.9 ± 1.8 years.
- Average age at reconstruction: 38.3 ± 12.8 years.
- No patients underwent re-revision surgery.
- Revision ACL patients generally demonstrated lower outcome scores compared to primary ACL patients

PROM	Me	P-value	
	Primary	Revision	
Mars	6.14 ± 4.74	6.32 ± 5.19	0.935
Tegner	5.85 ± 1.70	5.53 ± 1.98	0.777
IKDC	81.04 ± 15.82	76.16 ± 13.60	0.069
SANE	82.94 ± 17.80	70.21 ± 18.91	0.002**
PROMIS-10 Physical	52.93 ± 8.01	49.88 ± 8.72	0.212
PROMIS-10 Mental	54.95 ± 8.16	54.97 ± 7.47	0.874

Means are reported with standard deviation. P<0.05\*, P<0.01\*\*, P<0.001\*\*\*.





#### Results

Variable	Patient-Reported Outcome Measure (PROM)						
	MARS	Tegner	IKDC	SANE	PROMIS-10 Physical	PROMIS-10 Mental	
Sex	0.017*	<0.001***	0.642	0.495	0.086	0.389	
Graft Type	0.661	0.703	0.963	0.225	0.402	0.996	
Age at time of surgery	0.008*	0.003**	0.567	0.714	0.199	0.039*	
BMI	0.004**	0.002**	<0.001***	0.330	<0.001***	<0.001***	
Revision	0.640	0.554	0.241	0.004**	0.109	0.956	

Values represent p-values obtained from Type III ANOVA of linear regression models. P<0.05\*, P<0.01\*\*, P<0.001\*\*\*.

- BMI significantly predicted worse outcomes across activity scores, knee function, and general health measures.
- Age at surgery was associated with lower physical activity (MARS, Tegner) and mental health scores (PROMIS-10 Mental), but did not impact knee-specific function (IKDC, SANE).
- Sex was a significant predictor for activity levels (higher in males)
- Revision surgery predicted worse subjective SANE scores
- Graft type did not significantly influence any long-term patient-reported outcomes.





## Results

- The odds of achieving PASS in revision surgery are 93% of those in primary surgery.
  - Primary Surgery: 156 patients achieved PASS (70%)
  - Revision Surgery: 13 patients achieved PASS (68%)
- No significant difference in achieving PASS between revision and primary surgeries.

Variable	Achieved Pass	Odds Ratio (95% CI)	P-value					
Revision	13 (68%	0.93 (0.35, 2.80)	0.912					
Primary (Reference)	156 (70%)	1.00						
P<0.05*, P<0.01**, P<0.001***.								





# Conclusions

- At a minimum 10-year follow-up, patients who underwent revision ACL reconstruction had significantly lower SANE scores.
- BMI significantly predicted worse outcomes across activity scores, knee function, and general health measures.
- Activity levels were similar between the two groups, and graft type did not influence long-term outcomes.
- Further long-term research is needed to better understand the predictors of success and to clarify the impact of revision surgery on subjective and objective outcomes





#### References

- White K, Di Stasi SL, Smith AH, Snyder-Mackler L. Anterior cruciate ligament- specialized post-operative return-to-sports (ACL-SPORTS) training: a randomized control trial. *BMC Musculoskelet Disord*. 2013;14:108. doi:10.1186/1471-2474-14-108
- Shim J, Hamilton DF. Comparative responsiveness of the PROMIS-10 Global Health and EQ-5D questionnaires in patients undergoing total knee arthroplasty. *Bone Joint J.* 2019;101-B(7):832-837. doi:10.1302/0301-620X.101B7.BJJ-2018-1543.R1
- O'Connor CM, Ring D. Correlation of Single Assessment Numeric Evaluation (SANE) with other Patient Reported Outcome Measures (PROMs). *Arch Bone Jt Surg*. 2019;7(4):303-306.
- Piamthipmanas T, Lertwanich P, Ganokroj P, Vanadurongwan B, Keyurapan E, Lamsam C. Cutoff Value for the Patient Acceptable Symptom State of the Thai IKDC Subjective Knee Form in Patients After Primary ACL Reconstruction.

  Orthopaedic Journal of Sports Medicine. 2022;10(8):23259671221113880. doi:10.1177/23259671221113880
- Muller B, Yabroudi MA, Lynch A, et al. Defining Thresholds for the Patient Acceptable Symptom State for the IKDC Subjective Knee Form and KOOS for Patients Who Underwent ACL Reconstruction. Am J Sports Med. 2016;44(11):2820-2826. doi:10.1177/0363546516652888
- Briggs KK, Steadman JR, Hay CJ, Hines SL. Lysholm Score and Tegner Activity Level in Individuals with Normal Knees. Am J Sports Med. 2009;37(5):898-901. doi:10.1177/0363546508330149









