

# Outcomes of Skeletally Immature Patients Undergoing Isolated Medial Patellofemoral Ligament Reconstruction: Data from the JUPITER Cohort

Lauren H. Redler MD, Elizabeth R. Dennis MD, MS, Bennett E. Propp BS, Heath Gould, Audrey C. Wimberly MPH, Matthew W. Veerkamp, Natalie K. Pahapill BS, Joseph Nguyen MPH, JUPITER Study Group, Beth E Shubin Stein, Shital N. Parikh









# Disclosures

- There is nothing to disclose that pertains to this particular study.
- General Author Disclosures:
  - Lauren H. Redler: GLG: Paid consultant; Guidepoint: Paid consultant; PRiSM: Committee member; Forum: Board member; Relief Health Inc: Paid consultant, Stock options
  - Elizabeth R. Dennis: Conmed: Paid consultant, ISAKOS: Committee Member
  - Beth E Shubin Stein: Arthrex: Paid consultant/speaker; Conmed: Paid consultant, Research support; OrthopaedicsToday: Editorial Board; AJSM: Publishing board; AOSSM/OREF/AAOS: Multicenter grant
  - Shital N. Parikh: AAOS: Board or committee member; Conmed: Paid consultant; Journal of Pediatric Orthopedics: Editorial or governing board; Orthopedics Today: Editorial or governing board; Pfizer: Paid consultant; Wolters Kluwer Health Lippincott Williams & Wilkins: Publishing royalties, financial or material support; AOSSM/OREF/AAOS: Multicenter grant



# Funding Sources

AANA, POSNA, HSS, CCHMC, AOSSM/OREF





# JUPITER 1 & 2 Participating surgeons and Institutions

Beth Shubin Stein	Hospital for Special Surgery
Daniel Green	Hospital for Special Surgery
Peter Fabricant	Hospital for Special Surgery
Sabrina Strickland	Hospital for Special Surgery
Shital Parikh	Cincinnati Children's
Eric Wall	Cincinnati Children's
Benton Heyworth	Boston Children's
Dennis Kramer	Boston Children's
Yi-Meng Yen	Boston Children's
Matthew Milewski	Boston Children's

Jason Koh	Northshore
Jackie Brady	Oregon University
Marc Tompkins	TRIA
Caitlin Chambers	TRIA
Henry Ellis	Texas Scottish Rite
Philip Wilson	Texas Scottish Rite
Robert Magnussen	Ohio State University
Lauren Redler	Columbia University
Adam Yanke	RUSH
Seth Sherman	Stanford University
Jocelyn Wittstein	Duke University

Ryan Roach	University of Florida
Kevin Farmer	University of Florida
Matthew Bollier	University of Iowa
Kyle Duchman	University of Iowa
Robert Westerman	University of Iowa
Brian Wolf	University of Iowa
Jack Farr	Ortholndy
Kosmas Kayes	Ortholndy
Eric Strauss	New York University



### Background

- Addressing patellofemoral instability in the skeletally immature patient population has historically posed challenges as it is essential to respect the growth plates to avoid growth arrest and subsequent associated complications.
- Medial patellofemoral ligament reconstruction (MPFL-R) is an effective procedure to address patellar instability, but in skeletally immature patients, standard femoral attachment is often modified to avoid violation of the distal femoral physis.



### Purpose

To compare pre-operative characteristics and post-operative outcomes between skeletally immature and skeletally mature patients who underwent isolated medial patellofemoral ligament (MPFL) reconstruction.



# Methods

- Twenty-three surgeons from eleven academic centers throughout the United States enrolled patients with a primary complaint of patellar instability and performed indicated procedures as guided by their specific training and clinical indications.
- Inclusion Criteria: patients who underwent a primary, single-stage, isolated medial patellofemoral ligament reconstruction (MPFL-R) without concomitant boney procedure from a period of January 2017 through July 2022.
- Exclusion Criteria: missing discrete treatment data, if there was no known skeletal maturity status, and if there was no modification listed to address placement of the femoral attachment in a skeletally immature patient in order to reflect clinical equipoise.
- **Skeletally Mature** = Physes were closed or closing on MRI, indicating less than one year of growth remaining<sup>1</sup>.



### Results: Patient Characteristics & Clinical Data

Table 1 - Characteristics of Skeletally Immature vs Skeletally				
Mature Patients				
Variable	Immature	Mature	P-value	
	n = 295	n = 508		
Age (years)	13.5 ± 1.8	17.4 ± 3.7	<.001	
Female Sex	150 (50.9%)	324 (63.8%)	<0.01	
Race			0.293	
White	203 (79.6%)	364 (80.5%)		
Black	30 (11.8%)	39 (8.6%)		
Other	22 (8.6%)	49 (10.8%)		
BMI	22 ± 5.3	25.4 ± 5.8	<.001	
Beighton ≥ 5	65 (38.5%)	161 (45.7%)	0.131	
First-time	93 (31.35%)	116 (22.83%)	<0.01	
Dislocator (yes)				
Number of Pre-Ope	erative Dislocat	ions	0.089	
(Categorized)				
1 100	.00 (36.6%)	135 (30%)		
2-5 1	.46 (53.5%)	253 (56.1%)		
>5	27 (9.9%)	63 (14%)		
Grade III/IV Patellar Cartilage	45 (15.2%)	119 (23.4%)	0.006	
Lesion				

Table 2 - Radiographic Characteristics					
Variable		Immature	Mature	P-Value	
CDI		1.27 ± 0.29	1.16 ± 023	<.001	
Alta (CDI > 1.2)				<.001	
	No	55 (44.72%)	122 (63.87%)		
	Yes	68 (55.28%)	69 (36.13%)		
TT-TG (mm)		13.74 ± 4.46	12.91 ± 3.85	0.061	
PT-LTR (mm)		10.10 ± 6.63	7.70 ± 6.36	<0.01	

Table 3 - Surgical Data			
	Immature	Mature	P-value
MPFL-R Graft Source			0.236
Allograft	179 (60.68%)	286 (56.3%)	
Autograft	116 (39.32%)	222 (43.7%)	
MPFL-R Graft Type			0.033
Semitendinosus	172 (58.31%)	294 (57.87%)	
Gracilis	60 (20.34%)	130 (25.59%)	
Hamstring (unspecified)	6 (2.03%)	4 (0.79%)	
Tibialis Anterior	51 (17.29%)	58 (11.42%)	
Peroneus Longus	4 (1.36%)	20 (3.94%)	
Quadriceps (soft tissue)	1 (0.34%)	1 (0.2%)	
Unilateral/Bi-Lateral			0.356
Unilateral	187 (63.39%)	339 (66.73%)	
Bi-Lateral	108 (36.61%)	169 (33.27%)	
	<u> </u>		

## Results: Return to Sport and Instability

Table 4 - Postoperative Recurrent Instability and Return to Sport					
Variable	Overall	Immature	Mature	P- value	
Postoperative Recurrent Instability n(%)	74 (9.2)	39 (13.2)	35 (6.9)	0.004	
Time of Recurrent Instability (months)	27.1 ± 19.0	28.4 ± 15.6	27.1 ± 19.0	0.747	
Returned-to-Sport by 2 years postoperatively n(%)	298 (92.6)	126 (94.7)	172 (91.0)	0.282	

Table 5 - Logistic Regression: Odds Ratio for Postoperative Instability by Sex & Skeletal Maturity Status			
Variable	OR	CI	P-value
Female	2.275	1.286 – 4.024	0.005
Immature	2.123	1.27 – 3.548	0.004



# Results: Patient Reported Outcome Measures

Table 3 - PRO	Ms in Skeletally Matu	ure vs. Skeletally Imr	mature
Variable	Immature	Mature	P-value
<b>KOOS Pain</b>			
Baseline	70.2 ± 22.6	68.3 ± 20.4	0.308
1Y	93.4 ± 11.0	90.7 ± 11.4	0.005
2Y	91.8 ± 14.0	89.6 ± 13.7	0.065
5Y	90.9 ± 12.6	89.1 ± 17.1	0.967
Baseline2Y Delta	23.9 ± 25.6	21.5 ± 23.6	0.483
IKDC			
Baseline	50.5 ± 22.1	51.4 ± 20.8	0.607
1Y	87.1 ± 15.3	83.2 ± 16.8	0.015
2Y	85.5 ±15.2	83.9 ± 17.8	0.604
5Y	83.3 ± 18.9	83.6 ± 17.1	0.946
Baseline 2Y Delta	35.7 ± 25.1	32.4 ± 25.8	0.352

Table 3 Cont.			
Variable	Immature	Mature	P-value
Banff 2.0			
Baseline	41.9 ± 19.0	42.5 ± 17.5	0.696
1Y	79.0 ± 21.8	75.2 ± 22.1	0.139
2Y	77.4 ± 23.5	77.2 ± 22.9	0.690
5Y	75.1 ± 25.5	78.0 ± 22.7	0.330
Baseline 2Y Delta	36.2 ± 30.4	34.9 ± 28.2	0.751
Kujala			
Baseline	59.1 ± 23.5	58.3 ± 22.6	0.704
1Y	79.0 ± 21.8	75.2 ± 22.1	0.007
2Y	89.6 ± 12.9	89.8 ± 13.3	0.966
5Y	87.0 ± 17.7	88.6 ± 13.5	0.775
Baseline 2Y Delta	31.8 ± 26.4	31.3 ± 24.2	0.877



# Discussion

- Patients differed significantly by age, sex, BMI, and first-time dislocator status.
- Skeletally immature patients experienced post-operative recurrent instability at a higher rate than skeletally mature patients.
- Regarding pre-operative recurrent instability, there is no difference between sex and skeletal maturity status
- Skeletally immature patients are 1.4 times likely to have multiple dislocations preoperatively
- Being skeletally immature and being female increases odds of post-operative instability
- Rates of return to sport of skeletally immature patients at 2 years post-op were similar to skeletally immature patients undergoing ACL reconstruction (2).
- Skeletally immature patients initially outperform skeletally mature patients in PROMs at 1-year
- No difference in PROMs at 2 and 5 years



### Conclusion

- Younger, skeletally immature patients seem to initially do better than their older, skeletally mature counterparts, but then even out at 2+ years post-operatively.
- May represent faster recovery in the immediate post-op period for the younger population, which balances out overtime
- Immature patients had a higher rate of post-operative redislocation, but showed similar rates of return to sport at 2 years post-operatively.
- Caution in interpreting early post-op improved PROMs in the skeletally immature patients as readiness to return to sport, as they still have a higher redislocation rate
- Further studies will be helpful to determine if an optimal surgical technique can minimize the risk of failure in skeletally immature patients undergoing MPFL-R.



# References

- 1. Fabricant PD, Heath MR, Veerkamp M, et al. Reliability of Radiologic Assessments of Clinically Relevant Growth Remaining in Knee MRI of Children and Adolescents With Patellofemoral Instability: Data From the JUPITER Cohort. Orthopaedic Journal of Sports Medicine. 2021;9(4):232596712199111.
- 2. Kocher MS, Heyworth BE, Fabricant PD, Tepolt FA, Micheli LJ. Outcomes of Physeal-Sparing ACL Reconstruction with Iliotibial Band Autograft in Skeletally Immature Prepubescent Children. J Bone Joint Surg Am. 2018;100(13):1087-1094.

doi:10.2106/JBJS.17.01327

