



ISAKOS
CONGRESS
2025



MUNICH
GERMANY
June 8-11

Short-term results of RCT of robot-assisted bilateral TKA using kinematic alignment and mechanical alignment methods

Eiichi Shiigi, M.D., Ph.D.

Department of Orthopaedic Surgery

Yamaguchi Grand Medical Center

Japan



Faculty Disclosure Information

- My disclosure is—Paid Consultant: Zimmer Biome



ISAKOS
CONGRESS
2025



MUNICH
GERMANY
June 8–11

Patients and Methods

■ subject July2021~

The study was conducted on patients who gave informed consent for the randomized controlled trial (RCT)

OA/89cases

178knee(KA44cases88knee, MA38cases76knee)

■ method July2021~

After obtaining consent patients were randomly assigned to the KA and MA groups for the RCT and bilateral TKA was performed under robot-assisted for the KA we used the restricted Kinematic Alignment method

■ Robot used : ROSA knee system, Zimmer-Biomet

■ Implant used : Vanguard®ID



ISAKOS
CONGRESS
2025



MUNICH
GERMANY
June 8-11

Patients and Methods

■ Items to consider

Hip knee ankle angle(HKA), lateral distal femoral angle (LDFA), Medial Proximal Tibial Angle(MPTA), Condylar Twist Angle(CTA), Patella Tilting Angle, Joint Line Orientation Angle(JLOA), Range of motion(ROM), Timed Up & Go Test (TUG), Knee injury and Osteoarthritis Outcome Score(KOOS), JOA score, Oxford Knee Score(OKS), Forgotten Joint Score(FJS)

※ Statistical JMP version 15 used.

Category DataX2Examination、Consecutive numbers Wilcoxon Examination used.

$p < 0.05$ is significantly different



ISAKOS
CONGRESS
2025



MUNICH
GERMANY
June 8-11

Patients

July 2021 ~ Agree with RCT

Bilateral varus knee 89 cases 178 knee

(KA 44 cases 88 knee, MA 38 cases 76 knee)

Bilateral varus knee 60 cases 120 knee
(KA 31 cases 62 knee, MA 29 cases 58 knee)

outlier (KA_MPTA > |5| MA_HKA > |3|) exclude

KA : 1 death

MA : 1 Refusal to see a doctor

More than PO6M has passed

Bilateral varus knee 34 cases 68 knee
(KA 19 cases 38 knee MA 15 cases 30 knee)

Complications ; KA → left TKA dislocation

Avulsion fracture of the patella

Infected 1 knee

More than PO1Y has passed

Bilateral Varus knee 28 cases 56 knee (KA 17 cases 34 knee MA 11 cases 22 knee)

Complications : KA → Infected 1 knee

MA → Popliteal artery occlusion 1 knee Infected 1 knee

Results PO1Y Progress

Baseline characteristic

	KA (17cases34knee)	MA (11cases22knee)	P
Gender	M2knee F32knee	M4knee F18knee	0.1461
Age	72.2±7.4	70.7±6.5	0.4181
Height(cm)	153.0±5.2	154.6±6.8	0.6862
Body weight(kg)	64.1±7.9	62.4±15.0	0.1068
Body Mass Index(BMI)(kg/m ²)	27.4±3.2	25.9±4.8	0.0291

X2 test Wilcoxon



ISAKOS
CONGRESS
2025



MUNICH
GERMANY
June 8-11

Results PO1Y Progress

X-rays

		KA (17cases34kne e)	MA (11cases22kne)	P
HKA(°) (Varus:—)	Pre PO	-8.4±5.0 -2.2±2.1	-9.5±4.6 -0.6±1.6	0.3549 0.0078
LDFA(°)	Pre PO	88.2±2.5 88.6±2.1	88.8±3.4 90.5±1.2	0.2977 0.0008
MPTA(°)	Pre PO	85.0±1.9 86.7±1.2	84.8±2.6 89.8±1.4	0.8583 <0.0001
Patella Tilting Angle(°)	Pre PO	5.0±3.4 8.3±3.7	6.0±3.1 7.5±2.8	0.1768 0.5921
CTA(°)		4.2±1.7	1.6±1.3	<0.0001
JLOA (°)(Outside Fall:—)		-0.8±1.8	-4.2±1.8	<0.0001



ISAKOS
CONGRESS
2025



MUNICH
GERMANY
June 8-11

Results PO1Y Progress

			KA (17cases34k nee)	MA (11cases22k nee)	P
NRS	Under load	Pre	5.7±2.5	6.2±2.1	0.3572
		PO3W	1.6±1.0	2.3±1.5	0.1164
		PO3M	2.4±2.2	2.0±2.4	0.3593
		PO6M	0.3±0.6	0.5±0.7	0.1522
		PO1Y	0.6±1.0	1.6±2.6	0.2094
ROM	Flexion	Pre	126.0±12.8	130.2±14.0	0.3346
		PO3W	118.1±9.4	117.7±7.0	0.9378
		PO3M	121.1±9.1	126.2±6.1	0.0457
		PO6M	123.9±9.1	125.3±7.2	0.3773
		PO1Y	123.8±8.9	123.4±4.5	0.5896
	Extension	Pre	-7.9±7.6	-5.9±4.3	0.4659
		PO3W	-1.8±3.2	-2.0±2.5	0.4059
		PO3M	-1.7±2.4	-2.9±3.0	0.1624
		PO6M	-0.5±1.6	-2.4±2.6	0.0051
		PO1Y	-0.3±1.2	-1.1±2.6	0.1415



ISAKOS
CONGRESS
2025



MUNICH
GERMANY
June 8-11

Results PO1Y Progress

			KA (17cases34Knee)	MA(11cases22knee)	P
KOOS	Symptom	Pre PO6M PO1Y	46.4±13.4 82.1±9.3 79.6±15.7	56.5±17.8 84.0±10.5 78.9±17.5	0.0711 0.4559 0.9462
	Pain	Pre PO6M PO1Y	44.4±19.2 82.7±9.5 83.0±18.7	42.9±12.3 77.5±10.7 82.6±19.2	0.6955 0.0912 0.8131
	ADL	Pre PO6M PO1Y	57.1±17.6 82.9±8.0 83.7±14.5	57.2±17.2 79.8±16.2 83.4±13.6	0.9438 0.8789 0.7878
	Sport	Pre PO6M PO1Y	21.6±20.5 43.2±21.7 58.2±25.8	22.3±12.3 38.0±21.9 49.1±34.5	0.6963 0.3837 0.4794
	QOL	Pre PO6M PO1Y	29.7±17.0 62.1±16.7 73.9±19.7	24.4±14.0 57.6±20.0 67.0±23.5	0.3122 0.6131 0.2947
JOA score		Pre PO6M PO1Y	55.7±9.1 74.6±11.5 79.1±9.9	57.4±11.5 76.6±11.2 76.4±8.6	0.2793 0.7594 0.1835
OKS		Pre PO6M PO1Y	25.3±8.3 34.3±11.7 38.0±7.6	27.5±11.8 39.2±5.1 40.4±7.2	0.3062 0.4466 0.1565
FJS		Pre PO6M PO1Y	27.1±14.9 58.7±20.8 60.7±24.8	40.2±30.5 58.2±26.4 57.2±29.9	0.3026 0.8278 0.9197



ISAKOS
CONGRESS
2025



MUNICH
GERMANY
June 8-11

Results PO1Y Progress

			KA (17cases34 knee)	MA (11cases22 knee)	P
Manual muscle dynamometer (Nm/kg)	Extension	Pre PO3W PO3M PO6M PO1Y	0.72±0.26 0.52±0.16 0.65±0.21 0.78±0.22 0.91±0.27	0.83±0.29 0.42±0.18 0.70±0.23 0.77±0.22 0.84±0.21	0.2754 0.0267 0.6105 0.7124 0.2205
TUG	Maximum	Pre PO3W PO3M PO6M PO1Y	9.4±2.5 11.1±3.7 8.4±2.1 8.0±1.3 7.6±1.5	8.7±1.7 10.1±3.0 8.1±1.3 7.6±1.1 7.5±1.4	0.2980 0.1793 0.8556 0.3622 0.9198



ISAKOS
CONGRESS
2025



MUNICH
GERMANY
June 8–11

Results

	This Study	
	KA (19cases38Knee)	MA (15cases30Knee)
Pain(PO1M)	NRS 0.6±1	NRS 1.6±2.6
OKS(PO1M)	38±7.6	40.4±7.2
FJS(PO1M)	60.7±24.8	57.2±29.9



ISAKOS
CONGRESS
2025



MUNICH
GERMANY
June 8-11

Conclusion

- No significant differences were observed between KA-TKA and MA-TKA in postoperative outcomes
- Regarding FJS (Forgotten Joint Score):
The KA group had worse preoperative scores than the MA group
However, at 1-year postoperative results, the KA group tended to show superior outcomes
This suggests that the KA group may have a better improvement rate in FJS compared to the MA group



ISAKOS
CONGRESS
2025



MUNICH
GERMANY
June 8-11

Reference

- 1 Bellemans et al. The Concept of Constitutional Varus. The Association of Bone and Joint Surgeons (2011)
- 2 Nedophil et al. Does Calipered Kinetically Aligned TKA restore native left to right symmetry of the lower limb and improve function? Journal of arthroplasty Vol. 33 (2018) 398-406
- 3 Nakahara et al. Cutting Error of the Distal Femur in Total Knee Arthroplasty by Use of a Navigation System. Journal of arthroplasty Vol. 27 No. 6 (2012)
- 4 Riviere et al. What you need to know about kinematic alignment rot total knee arthroplasty. Orthopaedics & Traumatology: Surgery & Research Vol. 107 (2021)
- 5 Scanlon et al. In Kinetically Aligned Total Knee Arthroplasty, Failure to Recreate Native Tibial Alignment Is Associated With Early Revision. Journal of arthroplasty Vol. 35 (2020)
- 6 Howell et al. Implant Survival and Function Ten Years After Kinetically Aligned Total Knee Arthroplasty. Journal of arthroplasty Vol. 33 (2018)



ISAKOS
CONGRESS
2025



MUNICH
GERMANY
June 8-11