



# Increased Mechanical Axis Malalignment Does Not Lead to Worse Outcomes Following Transtibial Meniscus Root Repair

Logan Good, BS  
Reid Palumbo, BS  
Parker Cavendish, MD  
Eric Milliron, MD

Spencer Talentino, MD  
James C. Kirven, MD  
Charles Qin, MD  
Ryan H. Barnes, MD

Robert A. Duerr, MD  
Christopher C. Kaeding, MD  
Robert A. Magnussen, MD, MPH  
David C. Flanigan, MD



# Disclosures

- Dr. Robert A. Duerr - Research support received from Arthrex; Financial and Educational support received from Arthrex, CDC medical
- Dr. Christopher C. Kaeding - Speaker for Arthrex; Paid Consultant for Arthrex; Support received from Active Implants, Vericel, Smith & Nephew, Zimmer
- Dr. Robert A. Magnussen - Support received from Zimmer, Arthrex, Smith & Nephew; Editorial or Governing board of JAAOS, OJSM
- Dr. David C. Flanigan - Paid Consultant for Smith & Nephew, Depuy Mitek, Conmed, Zimmer, Vericel, Hyalex, Moximed; Stocked received from Nanochon; Support received from Smith & Nephew, Depuy Mitek, Vericel, Zimmer, Moximed, Arthrex, Anika Therapeutics, Aesculap



# Objectives

- Meniscal root tears, defined as a radial tear occurring within 1 cm of meniscal attachment or an avulsion of the meniscus attachment site to bone, comprise up to 20% of meniscal injuries<sup>1,2</sup>
- Previous studies have identified patient demographics such as Body Mass Index (BMI), age, sex and lower extremity coronal plane alignment as important factors that can impact clinical outcomes after meniscal root repairs<sup>3-12</sup>
- However, no standardized set of guidelines exists to identify proper surgical candidates for meniscal root repair based on coronal plane alignment





Purpose: to evaluate the impact of coronal plane alignment on outcomes of root repair

Hypothesis: that patients with lateral root repairs performed in a valgus knee or medial root repairs performed in a varus knee (“at-risk” group) would report worse patient-reported outcomes (PROMs)



# Methods

- Retrospective chart review was performed of all meniscus repairs at OSUWMC between 2006 and 2018
- Patients between 18 and 65 years old with adequate full length weight bearing radiographs for measuring the mechanical axis were included
- Patients were contacted to complete patient-reported outcome measures including the Knee Injury and Osteoarthritis Outcome Score (KOOS) and International Knee Documentation Committee subjective score (IKDC)



# Methods

- Patients with varus alignment ( $> 3$  degrees) and a medial root repair, or valgus alignment ( $>3$  degrees) and a lateral root repair were considered “at-risk”
- PROMs were compared between at-risk groups versus patients within 3 degrees of neutral mechanical axis
- Independent t-tests for continuous variables and chi-squared test for categorical variables were utilized to analyze relationships among body mass index subgroups, level of malalignment, PROMs, and complications



# Results

- A total of 62 patients underwent transtibial meniscus root repair
- Overall, 20 patients met inclusion criteria of which 9 (45%) were considered “at-risk” with varus-aligned knees with medial root tears
- Patients at-risk of failure had a greater absolute mean mechanical axis (-6.8 degrees) when compared to patients not at-risk of failure (-0.091 degrees) ( $p < 0.001$ )
- Patients at-risk of failure had a shorter length of mean follow-up time (13.0 months) when compared to patients not at-risk of failure (15.3 months) ( $p = 0.005$ )
- No significant differences were noted between the groups in patient age, sex, BMI, or PROMs

# Results

**Table 1: Comparison of Demographics and Patient-Reported Outcome Measures (PROMs) Following Transtibial Medial and Lateral Meniscus Root Repair**

	At-risk group (n=9)	Not at-risk group (n=11)	Significance
Age, mean ± SD	54.3 ± 5.3	47.5 ± 14.6	p = 0.20
Sex, n (%)			p = 0.10
Male	2 (22%)	0 (0%)	
Female	7 (78%)	11 (100%)	
BMI, mean ± SD	31.1 ± 6.4	29.2 ± 7.8	p = 0.58
Mean Follow-Up, months (range)	13.0 (4.2 to 23.7)	15.3 (4.2 to 33.3)	p = 0.005
Mean Mechanical Axis, degrees (range)	-6.8 (-10 to -4)	-0.1 (-3 to 2)	p < 0.001
KOOS-Pain	83 ± 17.7	88 ± 14.0	p = 0.49
KOOS-Symptoms	76 ± 18.3	87 ± 15.5	p = 0.16
KOOS-ADL	87 ± 16.7	94 ± 9.0	p = 0.29
KOOS-Sports/Rec	63 ± 35.9	77 ± 25.8	p = 0.82
KOOS-QOL	62 ± 32.1	65 ± 24.0	p = 0.16
IKDC	60 ± 26.5	70 ± 17.9	p = 0.35



# Conclusion

- This study assesses the implications of demographic variables and coronal plane alignment on outcomes of meniscal root repair and provides additional guidelines to the literature to appropriately identify proper surgical candidates
- Although more studies are needed to confirm these findings, this study demonstrates no associated differences in outcome of root repair based on coronal plane alignment



# References

1. Pache S, Aman ZS, Kennedy M, et al. Meniscal Root Tears: Current Concepts Review. *Arch bone Jt Surg*. 2018;6(4):250-259. <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/30175171>
2. Chahla J, LaPrade RF. Meniscal Root Tears. *Arthrosc J Arthrosc Relat Surg Off Publ Arthrosc Assoc North Am Int Arthrosc Assoc*. 2019;35(5):1304-1305. doi:10.1016/j.arthro.2019.02.010
3. LaPrade RF, Floyd ER, Carlson GB, Moatshe G, Chahla J, Monson JK. Meniscal root tears: Solving the silent epidemic. *J Arthrosc Surg Sport Med*. 2. doi:10.25259/JASSM\_55\_2020
4. Krych AJ, Reardon PJ, Johnson NR, et al. Non-operative management of medial meniscus posterior horn root tears is associated with worsening arthritis and poor clinical outcome at 5-year follow-up. *Knee Surg Sports Traumatol Arthrosc*. 2017;25(2):383-389. doi:10.1007/s00167-016-4359-8
5. Ahn JH, Jeong HJ, Lee YS, et al. Comparison between conservative treatment and arthroscopic pull-out repair of the medial meniscus root tear and analysis of prognostic factors for the determination of repair indication. *Arch Orthop Trauma Surg*. 2015;135(9):1265-1276. doi:10.1007/s00402-015-2269-8
6. Pan F, Hua S, Ma Z. Surgical treatment of combined posterior root tears of the lateral meniscus and ACL tears. *Med Sci Monit Int Med J Exp Clin Res*. 2015;21:1345-1349. doi:10.12659/MSM.892661
7. Han SB, Shetty GM, Lee DH, et al. Unfavorable results of partial meniscectomy for complete posterior medial meniscus root tear with early osteoarthritis: a 5- to 8-year follow-up study. *Arthrosc J Arthrosc Relat Surg Off Publ Arthrosc Assoc North Am Int Arthrosc Assoc*. 2010;26(10):1326-1332. doi:10.1016/j.arthro.2010.01.032
8. Ahn JH, Lee YS, Yoo JC, Chang MJ, Park SJ, Pae YR. Results of arthroscopic all-inside repair for lateral meniscus root tear in patients undergoing concomitant anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction. *Arthrosc J Arthrosc Relat Surg Off Publ Arthrosc Assoc North Am Int Arthrosc Assoc*. 2010;26(1):67-75. doi:10.1016/j.arthro.2009.07.007
9. Lee S-S, Ahn JH, Kim JH, Kyung BS, Wang JH. Evaluation of Healing After Medial Meniscal Root Repair Using Second-Look Arthroscopy, Clinical, and Radiological Criteria. *Am J Sports Med*. 2018;46(11):2661-2668. doi:10.1177/0363546518788064
10. Chung KS, Noh JM, Ha JK, et al. Survivorship Analysis and Clinical Outcomes of Transtibial Pullout Repair for Medial Meniscus Posterior Root Tears: A 5- to 10-Year Follow-up Study. *Arthrosc J Arthrosc Relat Surg Off Publ Arthrosc Assoc North Am Int Arthrosc Assoc*. 2018;34(2):530-535. doi:10.1016/j.arthro.2017.08.266
11. Tjoumakaris FP, Lombardi NJ, Tucker BS, Levi D, Austin A, Pepe MD. Medial Meniscus Root Repair: Are We Healing and How Do We Tell? *Orthop J Sport Med*. 2015;3(3\_suppl):2325967115S00015. doi:10.1177/2325967115S00015
12. Alaia M, Strauss E, Jazrawi L, Campbell K, Kaplan D. Discrepancy Between Radiographic and Clinical Outcomes at Two Year Follow-Up Following Transtibial Medial Meniscal Root Repair. *Arthroscopy*. 2017;33(6):e34. doi:10.1016/j.arthro.2017.04.101







# Increased Mechanical Axis Malalignment Does Not Lead to Worse Outcomes Following Transtibial Meniscus Root Repair

Logan Good, BS  
Reid Palumbo, BS  
Parker Cavendish, MD  
Eric Milliron, MD

Spencer Talentino, MD  
James C. Kirven, MD  
Charles Qin, MD  
Ryan H. Barnes, MD

Robert A. Duerr, MD  
Christopher C. Kaeding, MD  
Robert A. Magnussen, MD, MPH  
David C. Flanigan, MD