

Arthroscopic Surgery vs. Physical Therapy for Patellar Tendinopathy

Matthew D. Civilette, M.D.; Joseph M. Bano, M.D.; Trevor J. Wyand, B.S.; Sean B. Sequeira, M.D.; Casey M. Imbergamo, M.D.; Wiemi A. Douoguih, M.D.; James C. Dreese, M.D.; Heath P. Gould, M.D.

Financial Disclosures

May be found on the ISAKOS website

None relevant to the content of this presentation

Patellar Tendinopathy

- Common overuse condition leading to anterior knee pain
- Prevalence: 14.2% of elite-level athletes, even more common in jumping sports (e.g. basketball, volleyball)
- No consensus regarding optimal treatment

Non-operative Treatment

- PT: mainstay of treatment
 - Eccentric exercise = central component
 - Newer evidence suggests isometric exercise and heavy slow resistance training are useful adjuncts
- Other non-surgical modalities
 - Dry needling
 - Sclerotherapy
 - Prolotherapy
 - Shock wave therapy
- PRP
 MedStar Orthopaedic
 Institute

Operative Treatment

- Historical: patellar tendon debridement through open anterior knee incision
 - Level 1 RCT demonstrated similar outcomes between
 PT and open debridement (Bahr et al, 2000)
- Modern: arthroscopic tendon debridement
 - First described in 1999 (Romeo & Larson)
 - Multiple studies have reported good results
 - Level 1 RCT comparing arthroscopy to sclerotherapy showed lower VAS pain and higher patient satisfaction with arthroscopy (Willberg et al, 2011)



Study Design

- No prior article has directly compared clinical outcomes of arthroscopic surgery vs. PT for patellar tendinopathy
- Systematic review performed according to PRISMA guidelines
 - Level 4 evidence or higher
 - Examined arthroscopic surgery OR physical therapy in patients with patellar tendinopathy
 - Compiled baseline and final outcomes, aggregated and compared scores between groups



Results

- 52 articles included → 1177 patients with patellar tendinopathy
 - Physical therapy: 775
 - Arthroscopic surgery: 402

77.7% male

Mean age: 27 years



Clinical Outcomes

	Physical Therapy	Arthroscopic Surgery	P value
VAS (baseline)	5.37 ± 2.50	5.17 ± 2.15	0.50
VAS (final)	3.28 ± 2.94	1.45 ± 4.40	< 0.001
VISA-P (baseline)	55.20 ± 12.34	47.89 ± 8.76	< 0.001
VISA-P (final)	74.25 ± 14.10	88.21 ± 9.86	< 0.001

Conclusions

- Patients treated with arthroscopic debridement had equivalent VAS scores and worse VISA-P scores at baseline compared to those treated with physical therapy
- However, the surgically treated patients had significantly better scores at final follow-up
- Arthroscopic treatment of patellar tendinopathy may be associated with improved outcomes compared to physical therapy



References

- Bahr R, et al. Surgical treatment compared with eccentric training for patellar tendinopathy (jumper's knee). A randomized, controlled trial. J Bone Joint Surg 2006;88(8):1689-98.
- Romeo AA, et al. Arthroscopic treatment of infrapatellar tendonitis. Arthroscopy 1999;15(3):341-345.
- Willberg L, et al. Sclerosing polidocanol injections or arthroscopic shaving to treat patellar tendinopathy / jumper's knee? A randomised controlled study. Br J Sports Med 2011;45(5):411-415.
- Pestka JM, et al. Arthroscopic patellar release allows timely return to performance in professional and amateur athletes with chronic patellar tendinopathy. Knee Surg Sports Tramatol Arthrosc 2018;26:3553-3559.
- Young MA, et al. Eccentric decline squat protocol offers superior results at 12 months compared with traditional eccentric protocol for patellar tendinopathy in volleyball players. Br J Sports Med 2005;39:102-105.
- Kongsgaard M, et al. Corticosteroid injections, eccentric decline squat training and heavy slow resistance training in patellar tendinopathy. Scand J Med Sci Sports 2009;19:790-802.
- Rio E, et al. Isometric exercise induces analgesia and reduces inhibition in patellar tendinopathy. Br J Sports Med 2015;49:1277-1283.







Thank You!





