# Overhead Athletes Have Comparable Clinical Features and Postoperative Outcomes Compared with Non-Overhead Athletes after First-Time Anterior Shoulder Instability Events

Zachary J. Herman MD<sup>1</sup>, Ehab M. Nazzal MD<sup>1</sup>, Janina Kaarre MD MSc<sup>1,2</sup>, Robert Tisherman MD<sup>1</sup>, Justin J. Greiner MD<sup>1,3</sup>, Nicholas P. Drain MD<sup>1</sup>, Noel Carlos BS<sup>1</sup>, Lena Vodovtz BS<sup>1</sup>, Confidence Njoku-Austin BS<sup>1</sup>, Albert Lin MD<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Orthopaedic Surgery, UPMC Freddie Fu Sports Medicine Center, University of Pittsburgh Medical Center, Pittsburgh, PA, USA <sup>2</sup>University of Gothenburg, Gothenburg, Sweden <sup>3</sup>University of Nebraska Medical Center, Omaha, NE, USA







# Disclosures

#### Albert Lin, MD, FAAOS (Pittsburgh, PA)

AAOS: Board or committee member American Orthopaedic Association: Board or committee member American Orthopaedic Society for Sports Medicine: Board or committee member American Shoulder and Elbow Surgeons: Board or committee member Annals in Joint: Editorial or governing board Arthrex, Inc: Paid consultant Arthroscopy: Editorial or governing board International Society of Arthroscopy, Knee Surgery, and Orthopaedic Sports Medicine: Board or committee member Knee Surgery, Sports Traumatology, Arthroscopy: Editorial or governing board Tornier: Paid consultant

No other authors have conflicts of interest to disclose







# **Objective**

- Compared to contact and collision athletes, overhead athletes with glenohumeral superior capsulolabral pathology (SLAP lesions) have been shown to have lower rates of return to sport and pre-injury level of play, as well as higher complication and revision rates<sup>1-7</sup>
- Little data exists describing characteristics and outcomes of overhead and non-overhead (contact or collision) athletes after shoulder stabilization surgery following a first-time anterior instability event
- Hypothesis: overhead athletes would have inferior clinical outcomes and higher revision rates compared to non-overhead athletes







# **Methods**

- Surgically managed first time anterior shoulder instability patients from a single institution between 2013-2020
- Exclusion criteria: prior stabilization, multidirectional and recurrent instability
- Overhead sports were defined as those that require lifting above one's head and those that utilize a throwing arc of motion
- Labral tear location was determined using the clock method







## Results

- Non-overhead athletes more likely to dislocate
- No difference
  - labral tear size
  - incidence of concomitant posterior or superior labrum tear

Table 1. Baseline characteristics of the non-overhead and overhead   athletes.				
Variable	Non- overhead athlete (n=178)	Overhead athlete (n=78)	P	
Male, n (%)	128 (71.9)	55 (70.5)	0.88	
BMI, median (IQR)	25.1 (22.8-27.9)	24.4 (21.7-27.4)	0.31	
Dominant Hand, n (%)	82 (57.8)	29 (45.3)	0.13	
Injury			<0.001	
Dislocation, n (%)	87 (48.9)	28 (35.9)		
Subluxation, n (%)	90 (50.6)	50 (64.1)		
Bony Bankart, n (%)	31 (17.4)	7 (9.0)	0.09	
Hill-Sachs, n (%)	129 (72.5)	49 (62.8)	0.14	
Rotator Cuff Tear, n (%)	18 (10.1)	6 (7.7)	0.65	
SLAP Tear, n (%)	48 (27.0)	22 (28.2)	0.88	
Labral Tear Size, median (IQR)	3.0 (2.0-5.0)	3.0 (2.0-4.0)	0.40	







# Results

- Overhead athletes more likely to undergo arthroscopic surgery
- No difference
  - preoperative or postoperative range of motion or strength
  - total anchors used
  - revision rate

Table 2. Treatment characteristics of non-overhead and overhead athletes.					
Variable	Non-overhead athlete (n=178)	Overhead athlete (n=78)	Р		
Surgery, n (%)			<0.001		
Arthroscopic	136 (76.4)	76 (97.4)			
Open	33 (18.5)	1 (1.3)			
Latarjet	9 (5.1)	1 (1.3)			
Remplissage	20 (11.2)	8 (10.2)	0.83		
Anterior Labral Repair, n (%)	155 (87.0)	73 (93.6)	0.19		
Anterior Anchors, median (IQR)	3.5 (3-4)	4 (3-4)	0.20		
Posterior Labral Repair, n (%)	52 (29.4)	22 (28.2)	0.85		
Posterior Anchors, median (IQR)	O (O-1)	O (O-1)	0.60		
Revision, n (%)	30 (16.8)	10 (12.8)	0.46		







# Conclusion

- Overhead and non-overhead athletes have similar clinical presentation with no difference in injury characteristics or postoperative revision rate
- Surgeons should expect similar clinical presentations and respect the severity of anterior shoulder instability in both populations
- Further analysis comparing postoperative patient reported outcomes underway







## References

- 1. Brockmeier SF, Voos JE, Williams RJ, 3rd, et al. Outcomes after arthroscopic repair of type-II SLAP lesions. *J Bone Joint Surg Am.* 2009;91(7):1595-1603.
- 2. Friel NA, Karas V, Slabaugh MA, Cole BJ. Outcomes of type II superior labrum, anterior to posterior (SLAP) repair: prospective evaluation at a minimum two-year follow-up. *J Shoulder Elbow Surg.* 2010;19(6):859-867.
- 3. Gorantla K, Gill C, Wright RW. The outcome of type II SLAP repair: a systematic review. Arthroscopy. 2010;26(4):537-545.
- 4. Ide J, Maeda S, Takagi K. Arthroscopic Bankart repair using suture anchors in athletes: patient selection and postoperative sports activity. *Am J Sports Med.* 2004;32(8):1899-1905.
- 5. Ide J, Maeda S, Takagi K. Sports activity after arthroscopic superior labral repair using suture anchors in overhead-throwing athletes. *Am J Sports Med.* 2005;33(4):507-514
- 6. Park JY, Chung SW, Jeon SH, Lee JG, Oh KS. Clinical and radiological outcomes of type 2 superior labral anterior posterior repairs in elite overhead athletes. *Am J Sports Med.* 2013;41(6):1372-1379.
- 7. Park JY, Lee JH, Oh KS, et al. Return to play after arthroscopic treatment for shoulder instability in elite and professional baseball players. *J Shoulder Elbow Surg*. 2019;28(1):77-81.





