



Antero-Posterior Translation of Mobile-Bearing in Mobile-Bearing Unicompartmental Knee Arthroplasty

¹Takahiro Arakawa, ¹Kenichi Kono, ²Tetsuya Tomita, ¹Shuji Taketomi, ¹Ryota Yamagami, ¹Kohei Kawaguchi, ¹Tomofumi Kage, ¹Ryo Murakami, ³Hiroshi Inui, ¹Sakae Tanaka

1. Department of Orthopaedic Surgery, Faculty of Medicine, The University of Tokyo

- 2. Graduate School of Health Science, Morinomiya University of Medical Sciences
- 3. Department of Orthopaedic Surgery, Saitama Medical Center





ISAKOS Congress 2023 Disclosure of Conflict of Interest

Presenter's names:

Takahiro Arakawa, Kenichi Kono, Tetsuya Tomita, ◎Shuji Taketomi, Ryota Yamagami, Kohei Kawaguchi, Tomofumi Kage, Ryo Murakami, Hiroshi Inui, Sakae Tanaka (◎= representative)

This work was supported by Stryker, Zimmer-Biomet, the Japanese Society for Replacement Arthroplasty Foundation (JSRA), the Japanese Orthopaedic Society of Knee, Arthroscopy and Sports Medicine (JOSKAS), and the Japan Society for the Promotion of Science KAKENHI (Grants-in Aid for Scientific Research).



X-ray focus



Introduction

In knee arthroplasty, in vivo prosthetic kinematics is important to improve clinical outcomes, surgical procedures, and prosthesis design. [1-3]

2D3D registration technique is one of the methods to measure the 3D kinematics by matching 3D computer models (so called CAD) to 2D silhouettes [4,5]





Introduction

Traditionally, there are 2 ways of matching.

✓ Implant matching (using implant CAD) [6,7]



✓ Bone matching (using bone CAD) [8,9]







Introduction

MB UKA consists of

✓ Circular femoral component (FC)

✓ Mobile bearing (MB)

✓ Flat tibial baseplate (TB)

© Zimmer Biomet

Silhouette of MB UKA is **quite smaller** than TKA, and FC is **symmetric** and **single radius**. Therefore, implant matching of MB UKA has large error margin, i.e., implant 3D kinematics was unknown.



The same silhouette





Materials and Methods

Our new method: Matching both **bone** and **implant** simultaneously by using 3D model that combines bone and implant (created from pre and postoperative CT)





Combined 3D models

Double-matching method





Materials and Methods

Analyses of NWB knee flexion were performed;

21 MB UKA in 20 patients.



Age (years)	75.0 (54 - 86)
Male / Female	3 / 17
Body mass index (kg/m ²)	25.2 ± 2.5
Osteoarthritis / Osteonecrosis	10 / 11
Postoperative knee extension angle (degrees)	-0.4 ± 3.8
Postoperative knee flexion angle (degrees)	131.7 ± 3.9
Duration from surgery to analysis (months)	13.8 ± 4.9

Values are shown as median (range), n, or mean \pm SD.





Materials and Methods

The lowest point of FC was assumed to coincide with **the deepest point of MB**. Its AP translation was analyzed





AP midpoint of TB = zero position

Positive = anterior

Negative = posterior













Discussions

The most important finding of this study is that MB moved forward once and then backward in vivo NWB knee flexion in MB UKA.

Previous kinematic studies of MB UKA have showed various results as follows;

- ✓ Medial sulcus of femur indicated posterior translation during NWB knee flexion. [10]
- ✓ All MB moved posteriorly during NWB knee flexion intraoperatively. [11]
- ✓ Medial condyle translated posteriorly during NWB knee flexion in MB UKA. The degree depended on patient consciousness. [12]







Limitations

✓ The MB movement was inferred from the FC, not the actual MB movement.

- ✓ The fluoroscopic images were taken from the side to improve the accuracy of the AP movement, making it difficult to evaluate the medio-lateral movements, which were out of plane.
- ✓ Osteoarthritis patients and osteonecrosis patients were mixed.





Conclusions

✓ "Double-matching method" enables analysis of implant kinematics of MB UKA.

- ✓ MB moved 1.4 mm forward from extension to 40° of flexion then moved 4.8 mm backward to 130° of flexion.
- ✓ The strength of this method is that it may be able to analyze various motions (e.g., squatting, walking, etc.).





References

1. Banks SA, Markovich GD, Hodge WA. In vivo kinematics of cruciate-retaining and -substituting knee arthroplasties. J Arthroplasty. 1997;12:297–304.

2. Kono K, Inui H, Tomita T, Yamazaki T, Taketomi S, Tanaka S. Bicruciate-retaining total knee arthroplasty reproduces in vivo kinematics of normal knees to a lower extent than unicompartmental knee arthroplasty. Knee Surg Sports Traumatol Arthrosc. 2020;28:3007–3015.

3. Kage T, Inui H, Tomita T, Yamazaki T, Taketomi S, Yamagami R, Kono K, Kawaguchi K, Sameshima S, Tanaka T. In vivo kinematics of a newly updated posterior-stabilised mobile-bearing total knee arthroplasty in weight-bearing and non-weight-bearing high-flexion activities. The Knee. 2021;29:183-189.

4. Zuffi S, Leardini A, Catani F, Fantozzi S, Cappello A. A model-based method for the reconstruction of total knee replacement kinematics. IEEE Trans Med Imag. 1999;18(10):981–991.

5. Yamazaki T, Watanabe T, Nakajima Y, Sugamoto K, Tomita T, Yoshikawa H, Tamura S. Improvement of depth position in 2-D/3-D registration of knee implants using single-plane fluoroscopy. IEEE Trans Med Imaging. 2004;23:602–612.

6. Tamaki M, Tomita T, Yamazaki T, Yoshikawa H, Sugamoto K. Factors in high-flex posterior stabilized fixed-bearing total knee arthroplasty affecting in vivo kinematics and anterior tibial post impingement during gait. J Arthroplasty. 2013;28:1722-1727.

7. Ishibashi T, Yamazaki T, Konda S, Tamaki M, Sugamoto K, Tomita T. Kinematics of bicruciate stabilized and cruciate retaining total knee arthroplasty. J Orthop Res. 2022;40:1547-1554.

8. Kono K, Tomita T, Futai K, Yamazaki T, Tanaka S, Yoshikawa H, Sugamoto K. In Vivo three-dimensional Kinematics of Normal Knees during Different High-Flexion Activities. Bone & Jt. J. 2018;100-B, 50–55.

9. Kage T, Taketomi S, Tomita T, Yamazaki T, Inui H, Yamagami R, Kono K, Kawaguchi K, Sameshima S, Arakawa T, Tanaka S. Anterior cruciate ligament-deficient knee induces a posterior location of the femur in the medial compartment during squatting. J Orthop Res. 2022 Dec 9. doi: 10.1002/jor.25501. Epub ahead of print.

10. Kono K, Inui H, Tomita T, Yamazaki T, Taketomi S, Yamagami R, Kawaguchi K, Sugamoto K, Tanaka S. Weight-bearing status affects in vivo kinematics following mobile-bearing unicompartmental knee arthroplasty. Knee Surg. Sports Traumatol. Arthrosc. 2021;29:718–724.

11. Kawaguchi K., Inui H., Taketomi S., Yamagami R., Nakazato K., Shirakawa N., Tanaka S. Intraoperative mobile-bearing movement in Oxford unicompartmental knee arthroplasty. Knee Surg. Sports Traumatol. Arthrosc. 2019;27:2211–2217.

12. Pegg EC, Baré J, Gill HS, Pandit HG, O'Connor JJ, Murray DW, Price AJ. Influence of consciousness, muscle action and activity on medial condyle translation after Oxford unicompartmental knee replacement. The Knee. 2015;22:646-652.