

- Rajkumar S. Amaravathi, MBBS, DNB, MNAMS, FRCS, Bangalore, Karnataka, India
- Henry Mathews, MS, Bangalore, Karnataka, India
- Padmanaban Sekaran, MSc PT, Bangalore, Karnataka, India
- Anoop Pilar, MS, DNB, Bangalore, Karnataka, India

MANDATORY FACULTY DISCLOSURE

• All the authors have no financial conflict of interest to disclose

WHY THIS STUDY

- Prevalence of rotator cuff tears : 20.7%. ^[1]
- Produces significant pain affects daily living activities and decreases Quality of life.
- ARCR effective, safe and provides successful long term clinical outcomes.^[2]
- KINCOM dynamometer reliable strength testing instrument in external and internal rotation of the glenohumeral joint. ^[3]
- No previous studies have tested the importance of strength improvements post arthroscopic rotator cuff repair vis a vis the improvements in functional outcomes.

AIMS & OBJECTIVE

• To find out the relative influence of rotator cuff muscle strength in functional outcomes of rotator cuff repair.

MATERIAL & METHODS

- STUDY DESIGN: Prospective cohort study.
- STUDY CENTER: Department of Orthopedics, St. Johns Medical College Hospital, Bangalore.
- STUDY PERIOD: September 2017 September 2019.
- Preoperative evaluation using CONSTANT, ASES scores and Strength testing. Follow –up evaluation 6 months post-operatively.

INCLUSION CRITERIA

 Patients with reparable rotator cuff tears between the age of 18-60 years or patients treated with arthroscopic rotator cuff repair.

EXCLUSION CRITERIA

- Patients with irreparable rotator cuff tears [Patte ^[4] stage 3 after release and Goutallier ^[5] stage 4].
- 2. Patients with rotator cuff tear arthropathy or adhesive capsulitis or associated neuromuscular disorders.
- 3. Patients with bilateral shoulder pathology.
- 4. Patients with drop arm sign positive.

RESULT

- 43 patients analyzed, 17 lost to follow up.
- Complete pre and post op analysis of **26** patients done.
- Mean age : **48.3** years.
- Males : 15 patients (57.6%), Females : 11 patients (42.3%).
- Right shoulder affected in 21 patients (80.7%) and left shoulder in 5 patients (19.2%).
- All patients were right hand dominant.
- 10 patients(<u>38.4</u>%) were suffering from diabetes or hypertension.

Graph 1 : Prevalence of different muscle tears



Supraspinatus tear

Supraspinatus,Infrasp inatus and Subscapularis tear Supraspinatus+ Infraspinatus tear

- Double row repair was done in 22 patients (84.6%) and single row repair was done in 4 cases(15.3%).
- Crescent shaped tears were found in17 subjects (65.3%), U shaped tears in 6 subjects(23.1%) and L shaped tears in 3 subjects(11.5%).
- Postoperatively, an average increase of <u>38 points</u> in the CONSTANT score (p >0.0001), and <u>53.8 points</u> in – the ASES score (p>0.0001).

Isometric strength in internal rotation increased on average by 24.69 Nm (p=0.0001) and external rotation by 18.49 Nm (p>0.0001).



Figure 1:Internal Rotation (KinCom dynamometer)



Figure 2 : External Rotation (KinCom dynamometer)

- Isokinetic strength at speed of 60 degrees/s in internal rotation increased on average by 15.5 Nm (p=0.0001) and external rotation by 16.3 Nm (p>0.0001).
- Isokinetic strength at speed of 120 degrees/s in internal rotation increased by 16.2 Nm on average (p=0.0001) and external rotation by 15.6 Nm (p>0.0001).
- We did not find any significant correlation between the improvement in rotator cuff strength and functional outcome scores.

Graph 2 – Pre and Post Op Average Strength comparison



DISCUSSION

- All the patients showed a significant increase in rotator cuff strength and functional outcome similar to the study by Kurowicki J et al.^[6]
- Relief of pain was faster than recovery of ROM and improvement in functional outcome.
- Paul S et al have stated that functional outcome does not correlate well with structural outcome.^[7]

CONCLUSION

- ARCR significantly improves the post-operative functional outcome.
- ARCR significantly improves the rotator cuff strength.
- In our study there was no significant correlation between the improvement in rotator cuff strength and functional outcome scores.

REFERENCES

- 1. Yamamoto A, Takagishi K, Osawa T, Yanagawa T, Nakajima D, Shitara H, et al. Prevalence and risk factors of a rotator cuff tear in the general population. Journal of Shoulder and Elbow Surgery 2010;19(1):116–20.
- 2. Spennacchio P, Banfi G, Cucchi D, D'Ambrosi R, Cabitza P, Randelli P. Long-term outcome after arthroscopic rotator cuff treatment. Vol. 23, Knee Surgery, Sports Traumatology, Arthroscopy. Springer Verlag; 2015. p. 523–9.
- 3. Plotnikoff NA, Macintyre DL. Test-Retest Reliability of Glenohumeral Internal and External Rotator Strength. Vol. 12, Clin J Sport Med. 2002.
- 4. Patte D. Classification of rotator cuff lesions. Clin Orthop Relat Res. 1990;(254)(254):81–6.
- 5. Goutallier D, Postel JM, Bernageau J, Lavau L, Voisin MC. Fatty muscle degeneration in cuff ruptures. Pre- and postoperative evaluation by CT scan. Clin Orthop Relat Res. 1994 Jul;(304):78-83.
- 6. Kurowicki J, Berglund DD, Momoh E, Disla S, Horn B, Giveans MR, et al. Speed of recovery after arthroscopic rotator cuff repair. J Shoulder Elb Surg 2017;26(7):1271–7.
- 7. Paul S, Goyal T, Yadav AK. Association between functional outcome scores and MRI-based structural integrity after rotator cuff repair: a prospective cohort study. Arch Orthop Trauma Surg. 2022 Jun;142(6):1117-1123.