





# Extreme Hinge Axis Positions Are Necessary to Achieve Posterior Tibial Slope Reduction With Small Coronal-Plane Corrections in Medial Opening Wedge High Tibial Osteotomy

Erica Swartwout, BA, Hugo Robichaud, Atul F. Kamath, MD, and Anil S. Ranawat, MD

Hospital for Special Surgery New York, NY



HOSPITAL FOR SPECIAL SURGERY

# **Disclosure of Interest**

HSS educational activities are carried out in a manner that serves the educational component of our Mission.

As faculty we are committed to providing transparency in any/all external relationships prior to giving an academic presentation.

ANIL RANAWAT, MD Hospital for Special Surgery New York, New York

I DO have a financial relationship with:

Stryker Mako Surgical, Enhatch, Conformis, Smith and Nephew, Bodycad, Anika, Xiros, NewClip, Ranfac, Marrow Cellution, Arthrex, and Moximed

### Introduction

- Both coronal- and sagittal-plane knee malalignment can increase the risk of ligamentous injuries and the progression of OA.
- High tibial osteotomy (HTO) can achieve multiplanar correction, but determining the precise hinge axis position for osteotomy is technically challenging.



#### Purpose

To create CT-based patient-specific models to identify the ideal hinge axis position angle and the amount of maximum opening in medial opening wedge high tibial osteotomy (MOWHTO) required to achieve the desired multiplanar correction.



# **Materials and Methods**

A total of 10 patients with lower extremity
CT scans

#### Baseline measurements

SPECIAL SURGERY

- Mechanical tibiofemoral angle (mTFA)
- The posterior tibial slope (PTS)

#### Virtual osteotomy was performed to achieve

- (1) a specified degree of PTS correction
- (2) a planned degree of mTFA correction





# **Materials and Methods**

SPECIAL

The mean hinge axis position angle [red angle] for MOWHTO to maintain an anatomic PTS (no slope correction) was 102.6 ± 8.3 relative to the posterior condylar axis (PCA) [green line]



 Using this as the baseline correction, the resultant hinge axis position and maximum opening were then calculated for each subsequent osteotomy procedure.



# **Results: Demographic**

### 10 patients

HOSPITA

SPECIAL SURGERY

- 4 males/6 females
- Mean age was 57 years (49-66 years)
- Mean mTFA of 8.1° ± 3.2° (3.6°-13.4°)
- Mean PTS was 9.5° ± 1.8° (7.2°-12.3°)





HOSPITAL FOR SPECIAL SURGERY

# 5.0°, 7.5°, 10.0°, 12.5°, and 15.0° of mTFA correction (PTS consistent)

	mTFA Correction				
	5.0°	7.5°	10.0°	12.5°	15.0°
Maximum opening, mm	7.2	9.7	12.3	14.9	17.5
Hinge axis position, deg	102.6	102.6	102.6	102.6	102.6
PTS, deg	9.5	9.5	9.5	9.5	9.5

# **Results:** Hinge axis position angle required for posterior tibial slope correction



HOSPITAL

FOR SPECIAL SURGER

#### • For 5° of mTFA correction

 The hinge axis position was decreased by 6.8°

#### • For 10° of mTFA correction

 The hinge axis position was decreased by 5.2°

#### For 15° of mTFA correction

• The hinge axis position was decreased by 3.9°

# **Results:** Amount of maximum opening required for posterior tibial slope correction



HOSPITAL

FOR SPECIAL SURGER

- For 5° of mTFA correction
  - The maximum opening was increased by 0.49 mm for every 1° of PTS correction

#### For 10° of mTFA correction

 The maximum opening was increased by 0.37 mm for every 1° of PTS correction.

#### For 15° of mTFA correction

 The maximum opening was increased by 0.31 mm for every 1° of PTS correction.



## Discussion

# **Our finding**

- The ideal hinge axis position angle for MOWHTO to maintain an anatomic PTS (no slope correction) was a mean of **102.6° ± 8.3°**
- With smaller coronal-plane corrections, more extreme (more internally rotated) hinge axis positions were necessary to achieve the same degree of PTS correction.





### Discussion

- Moon et al found that the mean hinge axis was 4.92° ± 3.86° posterolaterally compared with the AP axis and that the hinge axis was a significant factor in changing the PTS.
- Park et al have defined the "hinge position" relative to anatomic landmarks in the coronal and sagittal planes, but this fails to take into account the rotation of the hinge position in the axial view





## Conclusions

 The mean hinge axis position for slope-neutral osteotomy was 102.6 ± 8.3 relative to the PCA. For smaller corrections in the coronal plane, more extreme hinge axis positions were necessary to achieve higher magnitudes of PTS reduction.



## References

- Bates NA, Nesbitt RJ, Shearn JT, Myer GD, Hewett TE. Posterior tibial slope angle correlates with peak sagittal and frontal plane knee joint loading during robotic simulations of athletic tasks. *Am J Sports Med.* 2016;44(7):1762–1770.
- Bisson LJ, Gurske-DePerio J. Axial and sagittal knee geometry as a risk factor for noncontact anterior cruciate ligament tear: a case-control study. *Arthroscopy*. 2010;26(7):901–906.
- Dobbe JGG, Du Pré KJ, Kloen P, Blankevoort L, Streekstra GJ. Computer-assisted and patient-specific 3-D planning and evaluation of a single-cut rotational osteotomy for complex long-bone deformities. *Med Biol Eng Comput*. 2011;49(12):1363–1370.
- Eliasberg CD, Hancock KJ, Swartwout E, Robichaud H, Ranawat AS. The ideal hinge axis position to reduce tibial slope in opening-wedge high tibial osteotomy includes proximalization-extension and internal rotation. *Arthroscopy*. 2021;37(5):1577–1584.
- Jo HS, Park JS, Byun JH, et al. The effects of different hinge positions on posterior tibial slope in medial open-wedge high tibial osteotomy. *Knee Surg Sports Traumatol Arthrosc*. 2018;26(6):1851–1858.
- Ivie CB, Probst PJ, Bal AK, Stannard JT, Crist BD, Sonny Bal B. Improved radiographic outcomes with patient-specific total knee arthroplasty. *J Arthroplasty*. 2014;29(11):2100– 2103.
- Kim GB, Kim KI, Song SJ, Lee SH. Increased posterior tibial slope after medial open-wedge high tibial osteotomy may result in degenerative changes in anterior cruciate ligament. J Arthroplasty. 2019;34(9):1922–1928.
- Lee SJ, Kim JH, Choi W. Factors related to the early outcome of medial open wedge high tibial osteotomy: coronal limb alignment affects more than cartilage degeneration state. *Arch Orthop Trauma Surg.* 2021;141(8):1339–1348.