Analysis of Validity of TTTG Distance using Dynamic 3D Printed Knee in Various Flexion Angles with CT and CAD as Controls

DEEPAK GOYAL*, ANKUR SHAH**

BHANUPRATAP GAUR, RUPESH GHYAR, PROF RAVI BHALLAMUDI***





- *Saumya Arthroscopy & Sports Knee Clinic, Ahmedabad, India. www.knee.in
- **Sadbhav Imaging Center, Ahmedabad, India.
- ***Biomedical Engineering and Technology Incubation Center- BETIC Lab, Mechanical Department, Indian Institute of Technology, Bombay, India.

Disclaimer

- Funding for 3D printing
 - Department of Science and Technology (DST), New Delhi, India.
 - Rajiv Gandhi Science and Technology Commission (RGSTC), Mumbai, India.
- Acknowledgement
 - Mr Balaji Vitthalrao Shinde for 3D modelling support.
- Conflict of Interest
 - None.



Introduction

• The TTTG distance is an important parameter to measure the position of tibial tuberosity (TT) in relation to the trochlear sulcus; which in turn allows to determine if medialization of the TT is needed or not.

- Due to the screw home mechanism, tibia rotates 5° externally in the last 15° of extension and rotates internally on early flexion. Hence, position of the TT cannot be static due to the external / internal rotation of tibia during terminal extension/ early flexion.
- If position of TT is not static than, TTTG cannot be a static measurement!









Purpose

- To determine the dynamic relationship between TT and TG on dynamic 3D printed knee models in various ROM.
- To revalidate data with CT control and CAD control.
- To analyze the validity of routinely performed TTTG and its clinical usefulness.







Methods

- In order to minimize the cost and the radiation hazard of CT scans in different degrees of flexion, only five patients were selected in stage 1.
- Only those patients who will otherwise need a dynamic 3D printed knee due to complex pathologies in their knees, were selected.
- Methodological steps
 - CT scan in different degrees of flexion
 - Hyperextension, neutral flexion, 0°, **30**° and (60° in a few case.)
 - Collect DICOM images
 - 3D image processing by mechanical/biomedical engineers
 - ABS printing using 3D printer
 - Dynamic 3D printed knee



Results: # 11703 (Dynamic TTTG-3D Prints)

Dynamic Printed 3D Knee models -View from lateral side-







Dynamic Printed 3D Knee models -View from medial side-



Results: # 13083 (Dynamic TTTG- 3D Print)

13083



Dynamic Printed 3D Knee models -View from lateral side-



13083

13083

Dynamic Printed 3D Knee models -View from medial side-





Results: # 13083 (Dynamic TTTG- CT and CAD Control)



TTTG in different degrees of flexion on Dynamic 3D Knee Print Vs CT Vs CAD Mean Difference

Methodology	Hyperextension-0° Extension	0° Extension- 30° Flexion	30° Flexion- 60° Flexion
Dynamic 3D print	18.45%	39.71%	8.57%
CT scan	11.1%	36.18%	5.16%
CAD models	5.94%	34.78%	13.85%

• **Dynamic 3D Printed Knee** model confirmed that Proximal tibia rotates internally from hyperextension to flexion, reducing TTTG.



The most significant reduction in TTTG values (39.71%) occurred from 0° to 30° of flexion on dynamic 3D printed knee models, which also matched with CT and CAD controls.



Discussion Dynamic 3D Printed Knee Models Fact 1

- There is a screw home mechanism.
- We confirmed that TTTG reduces > 35% from extension to 30° flexion.

Fact 2

- Patella engages in trochlea in approximately 30° of flexion.
- Means TT, thru patellar tendon drags patella into trochlea at 30° flexion.
- Thus, TTTG value is meaningful at the point of engagement of patella in trochlea and not in neutral extension position.





Then why are we measuring TTTG in extension?



Hyper Extension

 0° Flexion

30° Flexion

Conclusion TTTG Measurement Validity

• Relation between TT and TG is not a static relation but a dynamic relation which changes with different degrees of flexion.

 TTTG measured in extension is meaningless as it reduces >35% from full extension to 30° flexion. TTTG measured at an angle when patella engages into trochlea is clinically important rather than TTTG measured at neutral extension.



If at all, clinician wants to measure TTTG, it should be measured in 30° flexion.



THANKS A LOT

DEEPAK GOYAL***, ANKUR SHAH**, BHANUPRATAP GAUR*, RUPESH GHYAR*, PROF RAVI BHALLAMUDI*







References

- Dejour H, Walch G, Nove-Josserand L, Guier Ch. Factors of patellar instability: An anatomic radiographic study. *Knee Surg, Sports traumatol, Arthroscopy*. 1994;2(1):19-26.
 doi:10.1007/BF01552649
- Dietrich TJ, Betz M, Pfirrmann CWA, Koch PP, Fucentese SF. End-stage extension of the knee and its influence on tibial tuberosity-trochlear groove distance (TTTG) in asymptomatic volunteers. *Knee Surg Sports Traumatol Arthrosc*. 2014;22(1):214-218. doi:10.1007/s00167-012-2357-z
- Goutallier D, Bernageau J, Lecudonnec B. [The measurement of the tibial tuberosity. Patella groove distanced technique and results (author's transl)]. Rev Chir Orthop Reparatrice Appar Mot. 1978;64(5):423-428.
- Piazza SJ, Cavanagh PR. Measurement of the screw-home motion of the knee is sensitive to errors in axis alignment. J Biomech. 2000;33(8):1029-1034. doi:10.1016/s0021-9290(00)00056-7