

The Fragility of Tourniquet Use in Total Knee Arthroplasty: A Systematic Review of Randomized Controlled Trials

John K. Cordero MD^a, Kyle W. Lawrence BS^b, Ashley Brown BS^a, Xinning Li MD^b, Brett L. Hayden MD^a, Robert L. Parisien MD^a

^aIcahn School of Medicine at Mount Sinai, 1 Gustave L. Levy PI, New York, NY 10029 ^bBoston University School of Medicine, 72 E Concord St, Boston, MA 02118





Disclosures:

John K. Cordero, MD Nothing to Disclose

Kyle W. Lawrence, BS Nothing to Disclose

Ashley Brown, BS Nothing to Disclose Xinning Li, MD, FAAOS

AAOS: Board or committee member AAOS Now: Editorial or governing board American Journal of Sports Medicine: Editorial or governing board American Orthopaedic Association: Board or committee member American Shoulder and Elbow Surgeons: Board or committee member Arthroscopy Association of North America: Board or committee member BMC Musculoskeletal Disorders: Editorial or governing board DePuy, A Johnson & Johnson Company: Paid consultant FH Ortho: IP royalties; Paid consultant Journal of Bone and Joint Surgery - American: Editorial or governing board Journal of Medical Insight (JOMI): Editorial or governing board Orthopedic Reviews: Editorial or governing board World Journal of Orthopaedics: Editorial or governing board

Brett Hayden, MD

Bristol-Myers Squibb: Stock or stock Options Johnson & Johnson: Stock or stock Options Pfizer: Stock or stock Options

Robert L. Parisien, MD

American Orthopaedic Society for Sports Medicine: Board or committee member Arthroscopy: Editorial or governing board Arthroscopy Association of North America: Board or committee member Journal of Cartilage & Joint Preservation: Editorial or governing board Journal of Sport Rehabilitation: Editorial or governing board New England Orthopaedic Society: Board or committee member Society of Military Orthopaedic Surgeons: Board or committee member



Background

- Physicians rely on P-values when interpreting clinical trial data. However, this metric neglects loss to follow-up, sample size, and power¹⁻³
- Statistical fragility assesses the robustness of clinical data based on the number of outcome event reversals required to reverse statistical findings, and may address the limitations of the P-value⁴
 - **Fragility index (FI)** represents the number of outcome reversals required to switch a statistically significant result into a non-significant result
 - **Reverse fragility index (reverse FI)** represents the number of outcome reversals required to switch a statistically significant result into a non-significant result
 - **Fragility Quotient (FQ)** accounts for sample size by dividing FI or reverse FI by sample size
- The utility of tourniquet use in total knee arthroplasty (TKA) remains controversial, with current clinical trials relying on limited data and producing heterogeneous results⁵



Study Aim & Hypothesis

This study aims to assess the statistical fragility of recently published, randomized controlled trials (RCTs) on tourniquet use in TKA

We hypothesized that a fragility analysis of RCTs investigating tourniquet use in TKA would demonstrate fragility, with few outcome event changes required to reverse statistical significance



Methods

- Retrospective review querying PubMed/MEDLINE/EMBASE for articles from 1/2010 to 2/2021
 - Included studies: RCTs reporting categorical, dichotomous data on tourniquet use in TKA
 - Excluded studies: non-English language, non-RCTs, more than two treatment groups, *in vitro* or animal studies, assessing unicompartmental or revision arthroplasty
- Extracted data included sample size, number of outcomes from each intervention group, loss to follow-up, and P-values. Bias was assessed using Cochrane Risk Assessment Tool⁶
- FI and reverse FI were calculated using a 2 x 2 contingency table, by manipulating the outcome events until reversal of significance was achieved
- FQ was calculated by dividing the FI or reverse FI by sample size

	+ Outcome	- Outcome		+ Outcome
TKA + Tourniquet	35	57	TKA + Tourniquet	35
TKA - Tourniquet	19	65	TKA - Tourniquet	20
		P-value 0.033		





- Search yielded 636 total articles, with
 292 undergoing full-text review
- 23 studies met inclusion criteria
- 91 total dichotomous outcomes:
 - 11 significant (P<0.05)
 - 80 non-significant (P>0.05)
 - 13/23 (56.5%) of studies were at 'some concern' or 'high risk' of bias





Records removed *before screening*: Duplicate records removed (n =344)

Reports excluded (n=269) Non-RCT More than 2 treatment groups In vitro, animal, cadaveric data Unicompartmental Revision Non-dichotomous outcome No English translation

Results

- 91 total outcomes: median FI 4 (IQR 3 to 6); median FQ 0.0476 (IQR 0.0291 to 0.0867)
- 11 significant outcomes: median FI 2 (IQR 1.5 to 5); median FQ 0.0200 (IQR 0.0148 to 0.0484)
- 80 non-significant outcomes: median reverse FI 4 (IQR 3 to 6); median FQ 0.0495 (IQR 0.0310 to 0.0887)
- Substantial statistical fragility observed across outcome categories

	Events	FI (IQR)	FQ (IQR)
All Outcomes	91	4 (3 to 6)	0.0476 (0.0291 to 0.0867)
Reported P-Value			
<0.05 (statistically significant)	11	2 (1.5 to 5)	0.0200 (0.0148 to 0.0484)
>0.05 (not statistically significant)	80	4 (3 to 6)	0.0495 (0.0310 to 0.0887)
Outcome Category			
Skin/Wound Complication	21	4 (3 to 6)	0.0385 (0.0200 to 0.0438)
Transfusion	11	3 (2 to 5)	0.0297 (0.0136 to 0.0508)
Deep Vein Thrombosis	11	4 (4 to 6)	0.0583 (0.0291 to 0.0792)
Postoperative Pain/Pain Management	6	5.5 (5 to 7.5)	0.0455 (0.0348 to 0.0561)
Re-Intervention	6	3 (3 to 4.5)	0.0488 (0.0201 to 0.0625)

91 to 0.0867) QR 0.0148 to 0.0484) Q 0.0495 (IQR 0.0310

Limitations

- Given narrow topic of investigation, our analysis included a small number of eligible studies and a limited amount of statistically significant outcomes for evaluation
- Fragility analysis is limited to dichotomous, categorical outcomes, and is not generalizable to continuous variables
- Standardized FI/FQ thresholds for evaluating trial data have not been established. It is unclear how these results should impact clinical decision making



Conclusions

- RCTs evaluating tourniquet use in TKA demonstrate substantial statistical fragility, as the reversal of a small number of outcomes is sufficient to alter study clinical findings
- Statistical fragility is higher when considering outcomes reported as statistically significant
- We therefore recommend the inclusion of a comprehensive fragility analysis (FI and FQ), in addition to the P-value, to allow for increased reliability in the interpretation of literature on TKA



References

- Sterne JAC, Smith GD. Sifting the evidence-what's wrong with significance tests? *Phys Ther*. 1. 2001;81(8):1464-1469.
- Ioannidis JPA. Contradicted and initially stronger effects in highly cited clinical research. JAMA. 2. 2005;294(2):218-228.
- Walsh M, Srinathan SK, McAuley DF, et al. The statistical significance of randomized controlled 3. trial results is frequently fragile: a case for a Fragility Index. J Clin Epidemiol.2014;67(6):622-628.
- Feinstein AR. The unit fragility index: an additional appraisal of "statistical significance" or a 4. contrast of two proportions. J Clin Epidemiol. 1990;43(2):201-209.
- 5. Tarwala R. Tourniquet Versus No Tourniquet in Total Knee Arthroplasty: We Don't Have a Winner Yet: Commentary on article by Rahul Goel, MD, et al.: "Tourniquet Use Does Not Affect Functional Outcomes or Pain After Total Knee Arthroplasty. A Prospective, Double-Blind. JBJS. 2019;101(20).
- Sterne JAC, Savović J, Page MJ, et al. RoB 2: a revised tool for assessing risk of bias in 6. randomised trials. BMJ. 2019;366:14898.

