

Serial Assessment of Bone Healing After Medial Opening Wedge HTO Without Bone Graft

Si Young Song M.D, PhD Sung Yup Hong M.D* Hyobeom Lee M.D*

Dongtan Sacred Heart Hospital, Hallym University College of Medicine

* Kangdong Sacred Heart Hospital





We have no financial conflicts to disclose



Introduction

 Medial Opening Wedge High Tibial Osteotomy (MOWHTO) well-established treatment option for early and moderate uni-compartmental OA in varus-aligned knee

- Disadvantage

delayed union, nonunion loss of correction

- -To prevent disadvantages
 - 1. Filling the osteotomy defect: autograft, allograft, synthetic materials
 - 2. Stable fixation ; locking systems

stability, elasticity (bone growth by mechanical stimulation)







Background

•

Bone filling is not always necessary : opening gap size

	osteotomy gap size
El-Assal MA, KSSTA, 2010	< 14 mm
Galla and Lobenhoffer, Oper Orthop Trauma, 2004	<13 mm
Stuart M, Insall Scott Surg, Knee, 2012	<12 mm
Slevin O, KSSTA, 2016	< 10 mm

- * There is no strong quality of evidence to establish clear guidelines
- After MOWHTO without bone graft,

serial monitoring of bone healing rate : poorly defined

There are no prospective previous reports on the serial monitoring of bone healing





Purpose

After MOWHTO using a <u>locking plate (TomoFix®) without bone graft</u>, on <u>serial</u> plain radiographs (minimum 24 months f/u)

(1) To investigate the osteotomy bone healing (gap filling) rate

(2) To evaluate whether alignment correction could be maintained





Materials and Methods

Prospective Design; (Oct. 2013 ~ Mar. 2016) patients who symptomatic medial OA with varus malalignment locking plate (TomoFix[®]) without bone graft

Exclusion

•

age > 65 years rheumatoid arthritis ROM < 100° and flexion contracture of >10° <u>a minimum follow-up of < 24 months</u>

• Serial radiographs

preoperative, postoperatively, at 1,3,6,12,18,24 months after surgery





mechanical axis (MA) angle, weight bearing line (WBL) ratio * Modification of Staubli's method : to avoid the exaggeration of bone healing Lateral view: posterior tibial slope angle (RTSA) Staubli's method MA angle Our method Measurement of gap filling **WBL** ratio (Staubli's method) (oblique view) (AP view) on AP view $\frac{38.9(B)}{60.2(A)}(\%) = 64.6\%$ Center of $\frac{30.4(B)}{70.2(A)}(\%) = 43.3\%$ B/A x100 (%) Femoral head (A) Length of the osteotomy from medial to lateral \leftrightarrow Tibial width (B) The part of osteotomy that is not visible Distance from \rightarrow medial margin of tibial plateau WBL ratio = Distance from medial margin of tibial plateau / tibial width Center of ISAKOS Boston Talus CONGRESS Massachusetts 2023 June 18-June 21

Radiologic evaluation

Oblique view : bone healing (gap healing)

Weight bearing long-st lower extremity :

Posterior slope (Giffin method)

- - - -

7

Demographic data

Results

- re-operation (-), no infection (-)



	Post-op	1 M	ЗМ	6M	12M	18M	24M
Mean±SD(%)	31±4	38±4	51±7	66±5	84±7	92±6	97±2
	XXXXXXX		¥X			ICC=0.93	3

Variable	Data (n=49)
Sex (male/female), n Site (right/left),n Age, year (Mean ± SD) BMI, kg/m ² (Mean ± SD) Smoking,n Lateral hinge fracture, n Follow-up, month (Mean ± SD)	19/30 27/22 52.2 ± 6.4 (range,35-65) 26.3 ± 3.7 8 4 (Type I: 2,Type III: 2) 34.3 ±11.8 (range,24-60)
gap opening height, mm	10.2 ± 2.9 (range,7-20)



Results Bone healing rate 2

The correlation analysis (Pearson test, Linear regression) between bone healing rate and demographic variables

- age, sex, BMI, smoking, and hinge fracture not significant associated with bone healing rate

- opening gap height

had significant related to bone healing rate after 6 months

6 months (P < 0.001)

12 months (P < 0.01)

- 18 months (P < 0.01)
- 24 months (P < 0.001)





Boston Massachusetts June 18–June 21

						VXNXN	XXXX/					
Maintenance of correction												
Weigh												
	Pre-o	p 1	м	зм	6M	12M	18 M	24M				
Mean±SD (%)	22 ± 8	61	±6 60) ± 6	60 ± 6	60 ± 6	60 ± 6	59 ± 6				
Mechanical Axis (MA) angle												
	Pre-o	p 1	м	3М	6 M	12M	18 M	24M				
Mean±SD (°)	- 6.1 ± 3	3.3 2.1	± 1.2 2.0) ± 1.2	2.0 ± 1.1	1.9 ± 1.1	1.9 ± 1.1	1.9 ± 1.1				
* WBL ratio, MA angle Statistical differences between preoperatively and 1 month (P<0.001) No statistical difference after 1 month												
FUSIE		iai 510	pe ang	ie (Fi	SA)							
	Pre-op	Post-op	1 M	ЗM	6M	12M	18M	24M				
Mean±SD (°)	9.6 ± 3.2	9.9±3.3	11.1±3.8	11.0 ±3.3	3 11.7±3.	6 11.5±3.6	11.6±3.6	11.8±5.2				
* PTSA Statistical differences between 1 month and 3 months (P=0.02) No statistical difference after 3 months												

8

						N X N X X/	VX/VX/					
Maintenance of correction												
Weigh												
	Pre-o	p 1	м	зм	6M	12M	18 M	24M				
Mean±SD (%)	22 ± 8	3 61	±6 60) ± 6	60 ± 6	60 ± 6	60 ± 6	59 ± 6				
Mechanical Axis (MA) angle												
	Pre-o	p 1	м	ЗМ	6M	12M	18 M	24M				
Mean±SD (°)	- 6.1 ± 3	3.3 2.1	± 1.2 2.0) ± 1.2	2.0 ± 1.1	1.9 ± 1.1	1.9 ± 1.1	1.9 ± 1.1				
* WBL ratio, MA angle Statistical differences between preoperatively and 1 month (P<0.001) No statistical difference after 1 month												
Poster	dit tor	ial sio	pe ang	Ie (PI	5A)							
	Pre-op	Post-op	1 M	ЗМ	6M	12M	18M	24M				
Mean±SD (°)	9.6 ± 3.2	9.9±3.3	11.1±3.8	11.0 ±3.3	3 11.7±3.	6 11.5±3.6	11.6±3.6	11.8±5.2				
* PTSA Statistical differences between 1 month and 3 months (P=0.02) No statistical difference after 3 months												

						V X N X N	XXXX/					
Maintenance of correction												
Weigh												
	Pre-o	Pre-op 1 M 3M 6M 12M 18M										
Mean±SD(%)	22 ± 8	61	±6 60	0 ± 6	60 ± 6	60 ± 6	60 ± 6	59 ± 6				
Mechanical Axis (MA) angle												
	Pre-o	p 1	м	ЗМ	6 M	12M	18 M	24M				
Mean±SD(°)	- 6.1 ± 3	3.3 2.1	± 1.2 2.0	0 ± 1.2	2.0 ± 1.1	1.9 ± 1.1	1.9 ± 1.1	1.9 ± 1.1				
* WBL ratio, MA angle Statistical differences between preoperatively and 1 month (P<0.001) No statistical difference after 1 month Posterior tibial slope angle (PTSA)												
		////				- 1						
	Pre-op	Post-op	1 M	ЗМ	6M	12M	18 M	24M				
Mean±SD (°)	9.6 ± 3.2	9.9±3.3	11.1±3.8	11.0 ±3.	3 11.7±3.	6 11.5±3.6	11.6±3.6	11.8±5.2				
* PTSA Statistical differences between 1 month and 3 months (P=0.02) No statistical difference after 3 months												

Summary

A prospective, single center, single surgeon series; 49 cases MOWHTO with TomoFix without bone graft: minimum 24 months f/u

Bone healing rate

In all patients,

> 90 % spontaneous gap healing of the osteotomy site

(re-operation -, no infection -)

Correlation analysis

opening gap height : the only predictor for bone healing

WBL ratio, MA angle

no correction loss postoperatively

PTSA

increased from postoperatively (9.9°) to 1 month (11.1°) (P = 0.02) no correction loss after 3 months postoperatively



The time of bone healing rate after MOWHTO

Bone healing rate

	Post-op	1 M	3M	6M	12M	18M	2
Mean ± SD (%)	31±4	38±4	51±7	66±5	84±7	92±6	9

- The definition of delayed union and malunion after MOWHTO? variable
 - * In extremity fractures,

delayed union: 3 months, malunion: 6 months

* In our opinion,

the same definition in bone fractures are in <u>sufficient</u> in MOWHTO. when considered re-operation for delayed union or non-union, a critical assessment of both radiographic and clinical factors (clinical factors: the lack of ability to bear weight, pain, tenderness)





24M

7±2





- MOWHTO with use of TomoFix without bone graft spontaneous and nearly total gap healing of the osteotomy site is usually achieved
- As a part of the MOWHTO, routine addition of bone graft might be <u>unnecessary</u>
 - To shorten the operative time
 - To avoid unnecessary morbidity
 - To reduce cost





References

Han JH, Kim HJ, Song JG, Yang JH, Bhandare NN, Fernandez AR et al (2015) Is bone grafting necessary in opening wedge high tibial osteorogy • A meta-analysis of radiological outcomes. Knee Surg Relat Res 27:207–220.

- Kolb W, Guhlmann H, Windisch C, Kolb K, Koller H, Grutzner P (2009) Opening-wedge high tibial osteotomy with a locked lowprofle plate. J Bone • Joint Surg Am 91:2581–2588
- Lee DC, Byun SJ (2012) High tibial osteotomy. Knee Surg Relat Res 24:61–69 •

Boston

Massachusetts June 18-June 21

- Han JH, Kim HJ, Song JG, Yang JH, Nakamura R, Shah D et al (2017) Locking plate versus non-locking plate in open-wedge high tibial osteotomy • a meta-analysis. Knee Surg Sports Traumatol Arthrosc 25:808-816.
- Jung WH, Chun CW, Lee JH, Ha JH, Kim JH, Jeong JH (2013) Comparative study of medial opening-wedge high tibial osteotomy using 2 different • implants. Arthroscopy 29:1063–1071
- Park SH, Jung KH, Chang SW, Jang SM, Park KB (2020) Trends in knee surgery research in the ofcial journal of the Korean Knee Society during • the period 1999–2018: a bibliometric review. Knee Surg Relat Res 32:28
- Valkering KP, van den Bekerom MP, Kappelhof FM, Albers GH (2009) Complications after tomofx medial opening wedge high tibial osteotomy. J • Knee Surg 22:218–225
- Brosset T, Pasquier G, Migaud H, Gougeon F (2011) Opening wedge high tibial osteotomy performed without filing the defect but with locking plate • fxation (TomoFix) and early weight-bearing: prospective evaluation of bone union, precision and maintenance of correction in 51 cases. Orthop Traumatol Surg Res 97:705–711
- Ferner F, Dickschas J, Ostertag H, Poske U, Schwitulla J, Harrer J et al (2016) Is a synthetic augmentation in medial open wedge high tibial • osteotomies superior to no augmentation in terms of bone-healing? Knee 23:2-7.
- Ren YM, Duan YH, Sun YB, Yang T, Hou WY, Zhu RS et al (2020) Opening-wedge high tibial osteotomy using autograft versus allograft: a • systematic review and meta-analysis. J Knee Surg 33:565–575
- Staubli AE, Jacob HA (2010) Evolution of open-wedge hightibial osteotomy: experience with a special angular stable device for internal fxation • without interposition material. Int Orthop 34:167–172



