



ISAKOS
CONGRESS
2023



Boston
Massachusetts
June 18–June 21

Compliance and outcome of osteoporosis treatment after total knee arthroplasty

*Veterans Health Service Medical Center, Seoul,
South Korea*

Yun Seong Choi

Seung Hoon Lee

Jung Ro Yoon

Tae Hyuck Yoon

Young Bin Shin



ISAKOS
CONGRESS
2023



Boston
Massachusetts
June 18–June 21

Disclosures:

The authors have no conflicts of
interest to declare.



Introduction 1

- ❖ Incidence of **Knee OA** & **osteoporosis** has been increasing
 - Knee OA (pain) vs Osteoporosis (No symptom)
 - Osteoporosis: underdiagnosis
 - Approximately 20~50% of patients undergoing TKA were diagnosed with osteoporosis
- ❖ Treatment of osteoporosis is important after TKA
 - BMD may decrease until approximately 24 months after TKA
 - Risk of periprosthetic fractures
 - Aseptic loosening



ISAKOS
CONGRESS
2023



Boston
Massachusetts
June 18–June 21

YB Park, AOTS, 2020

Anderson, J Arthroplasty, 2019

Introduction 2

- ❖ **Treatment tool modality & compliance** is an important factor for osteoporosis treatment
- ❖ Treatment tool
 - Modality : SERM, Bisphosphonate, denosumab..
 - Dosing method : Daily, once a week, twice a month, per 6M, per 1Y
- ❖ Compliance
 - 1-year compliance: approximately **50%**
 - Concomitant disease, treated by a specialist enhance treatment compliance
 - High when injection is required once every 6 months

Purpose

- ❖ Osteoporosis treatment + TKA: positive effect on compliance
- ❖ Osteoporosis treatment after TKA prevent a decrease in BMD



ISAKOS
CONGRESS
2023



Boston
Massachusetts
June 18–June 21

Hypothesis

- ❖ Osteoporosis treatment compliance after TKA will be high
- ❖ Osteoporosis treatment results after TKA will be as good as those without TKA.



ISAKOS
CONGRESS
2023



Boston
Massachusetts
June 18–June 21

Material and Method

- ❖ Retrospectively study (2019.3~2020.12): diagnosed with osteoporosis **for the first time**
 - In an outpatient clinic (**OPD group**)
 - Before TKA (**TKA group**)

- ❖ The criteria for osteoporosis diagnosis: same as insurance standard
 - Average T-score of -2.5 or less at two or more points on the L-spine on DEXA
 - Lowest T-score of -2.5 or less in the femur excluding the ward
 - Defined as only one of these two conditions



Material and Method

- ❖ Exclusion criteria
 - Other than **denosumab**
 - **fractures** during treatment
 - **changed medications**
- ❖ Treatment result (after 1 Y)
 - Follow up rate
 - DEXA result



ISAKOS
CONGRESS
2023



Boston
Massachusetts
June 18–June 21

Results

	OPD group (n=41)	TKA group (n=26)	P-value
Sex	Male: 4 Female: 37	Male: 2 Female: 24	0.010
Age	73.9 ± 9.0	74.8 ± 6.7	0.684
Height (cm)	152.6 ± 5.8	149.9 ± 5.9	0.182
Weight (kg)	55.2 ± 8.6	58.6 ± 8.2	0.242
BMI	23.6 ± 2.7	26.0 ± 3.0	0.019
1 year follow-up	Follow up: 29 Follow up loss: 12	Follow up: 26 Follow up loss: 0	0.001



Results – DEXA outcome

		OPD group	TKA group	p-value
DEXA L-spine (lowest)	Initial	-2.83 ± 1.13	-3.10 ± 0.58	0.391
	After 1 year	-2.61 ± 1.09	-2.85 ± 0.83	0.435
	p-value	0.013	0.028	
DEXA L-spine more than 2 site	Initial	-2.68 ± 1.09	-2.82 ± 0.58	0.656
	After 1 year	-2.39 ± 1.13	-2.52 ± 0.89	0.656
	p-value	<0.001	0.006	
DEXA femur neck	Initial	-2.34 ± 0.76	-2.20 ± 0.83	0.621
	After 1 year	-2.24 ± 0.88	-2.05 ± 0.82	0.743
	p-value	0.016	0.158	
DEXA femur total	Initial	-2.03 ± 0.89	-2.06 ± 0.72	0.883
	After 1 year	-1.93 ± 0.87	-1.95 ± 0.70	0.481
	p-value	0.013	0.298	
Lowest value	Initial	-3.06 ± 0.48	-2.99 ± 0.39	0.534
	After 1 year	-2.89 ± 0.55	-2.72 ± 0.71	0.410
	p-value	0.002	0.027	
Δ T-score	DEXA L-spine	0.29 ± 0.31	0.29 ± 0.47	0.927
	DEXA L-spine more than 2 site	0.23 ± 0.42	0.24 ± 0.50	0.943
	DEXA femur neck	0.10 ± 0.20	0.16 ± 0.55	0.587
	DEXA femur total	0.10 ± 0.18	0.11 ± 0.50	0.908
	Lowest value	0.19 ± 0.29	0.27 ± 0.55	0.497

Limitation

- ❖ The number of patients was small
- ❖ Retrospective study: selection bias
- ❖ Short term follow-up: 1Y
- ❖ Difference hospital fee system (VHS medical center)

Conclusion

- ❖ Osteoporosis treatment **compliance** in the TKA group was significantly **higher** than that in the non-TKA group.
- ❖ When denosumab was used after TKA, there was **no decrease** in BMD, and the treatment results were **equivalent** to those in the non-TKA group.

Reference.

- 1] Papaioannou A, Kennedy CC, Dolovich L, Lau E, Adachi JD. Patient adherence to osteoporosis medications: problems, consequences and management strategies. *Drugs Aging*. 2007;24(1):37-55.
- 2. Bernatz JT, Brooks AE, Squire MW, Illgen RI, 2nd, Binkley NC, Anderson PA. Osteoporosis Is Common and Undertreated Prior to Total Joint Arthroplasty. *J Arthroplasty*. 2019;34(7):1347-53.
- 3. Lingard EA, Mitchell SY, Francis RM, Rawlings D, Peaston R, Birrell FN, et al. The prevalence of osteoporosis in patients with severe hip and knee osteoarthritis awaiting joint arthroplasty. *Age Ageing*. 2010;39(2):234-9.
- 4. Bernatz JT, Krueger DC, Squire MW, Illgen RL, 2nd, Binkley NC, Anderson PA. Unrecognized Osteoporosis Is Common in Patients With a Well-Functioning Total Knee Arthroplasty. *J Arthroplasty*. 2019;34(10):2347-50.
- 5. Ha CW, Park YB. Underestimation and undertreatment of osteoporosis in patients awaiting primary total knee arthroplasty. *Arch Orthop Trauma Surg*. 2020;140(8):1109-14.
- 6. Xiao PL, Hsu CJ, Ma YG, Liu D, Peng R, Xu XH, et al. Prevalence and treatment rate of osteoporosis in patients undergoing total knee and hip arthroplasty: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Arch Osteoporos*. 2022;17(1):16.
- 7. Chang CB, Kim TK, Kang YG, Seong SC, Kang SB. Prevalence of osteoporosis in female patients with advanced knee osteoarthritis undergoing total knee arthroplasty. *J Korean Med Sci*. 2014;29(10):1425-31.
- 8. Fu SH, Wang CY, Yang RS, Wu FL, Hsiao FY. Bisphosphonate Use and the Risk of Undergoing Total Knee Arthroplasty in Osteoporotic Patients with Osteoarthritis: A Nationwide Cohort Study in Taiwan. *J Bone Joint Surg Am*. 2017;99(11):938-46.
- 9. Blaty T, Krueger D, Illgen R, Squire M, Heiderscheid B, Binkley N, et al. DXA evaluation of femoral bone mineral density and cortical width in patients with prior total knee arthroplasty. *Osteoporos Int*. 2019;30(2):383-90.
- 10. Prince JM, Bernatz JT, Binkley N, Abdel MP, Anderson PA. Changes in femoral bone mineral density after total knee arthroplasty: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Arch Osteoporos*. 2019;14(1):23.
- 11. Murahashi Y, Teramoto A, Jimbo S, Okada Y, Kamiya T, Imamura R, et al. Denosumab prevents periprosthetic bone mineral density loss in the tibial metaphysis in total knee arthroplasty. *Knee*. 2020;27(2):580-6.
- 12. Thillemann TM, Pedersen AB, Mehnert F, Johnsen SP, Søballe K. Postoperative use of bisphosphonates and risk of revision after primary total hip arthroplasty: a nationwide population-based study. *Bone*. 2010;46(4):946-51.
- 13. Weycker D, Macarios D, Edelsberg J, Oster G. Compliance with osteoporosis drug therapy and risk of fracture. *Osteoporos Int*. 2007;18(3):271-7.
- 14. Durden E, Pinto L, Lopez-Gonzalez L, Juneau P, Barron R. Two-year persistence and compliance with osteoporosis therapies among postmenopausal women in a commercially insured population in the United States. *Arch Osteoporos*. 2017;12(1):22.
- 15. Clohisey JC, Kamath GV, Byrd GD, Steger-May K, Wright RW. Patient compliance with clinical follow-up after total joint arthroplasty. *J Bone Joint Surg Am*. 2008;90(9):1848-54.
- 16. Yuenyongviwat V, Chunakiat S, Purngipittrakul P, Wanasitchaiwat P, Iamthanaporn K, Hongnarak T. Factors Affecting Adherence to Follow-up Appointments after total knee arthroplasty. *Ortop Traumatol Rehabil*. 2020;22(4):245-50.
- 17. Solomon DH, Avorn J, Katz JN, Finkelstein JS, Arnold M, Polinski JM, et al. Compliance with osteoporosis medications. *Arch Intern Med*. 2005;165(20):2414-9.
- 18. Khosla S, Hofbauer LC. Osteoporosis treatment: recent developments and ongoing challenges. *Lancet Diabetes Endocrinol*. 2017;5(11):898-907.
- 19. Pickney CS, Arnason JA. Correlation between patient recall of bone densitometry results and subsequent treatment adherence. *Osteoporos Int*. 2005;16(9):1156-60.
- 20. Warriner AH, Curtis JR. Adherence to osteoporosis treatments: room for improvement. *Curr Opin Rheumatol*. 2009;21(4):356-62.
- 21. Huas D, Debais F, Blotman F, Cortet B, Mercier F, Rousseaux C, et al. Compliance and treatment satisfaction of post menopausal women treated for osteoporosis. Compliance with osteoporosis treatment. *BMC Womens Health*. 2010;10:26.

