

Appropriate patient selection based on joint line convergence angle minimizes difference in mechanical axis between standing and supine positions after high tibial osteotomy

> Department of Orthopaedic Surgery, Kobe University Graduate School of Medicine, Kobe, Japan

Naosuke Nagata, Takehiko Matsushita, Yuta Nakanishi, Kyohei Nishida, Kanto Nagai, Noriyuki Kanzaki, Yuichi Hoshino, Ryosuke Kuroda







## ISAKOS 2023 COI Disclosure Information

#### Presenter: Naosuke Nagata

#### I have no financial relationships to disclose.





## Introduction



#### Factors of correction error with high tibial osteotomy(HTO)

- Inaccurate preoperative planning
- Inaccurate X-ray
- Intraoperative errors

\*1, Shin et al et al, Arthroscopy. 2020

\*2, Jud et al, Knee Surg Sports Traumatol Arthrosc. 2020

\*3, Park JG et al, Knee Surg Sports Traumatol Arthrosc. 2020

Difference in coronal alignment between the standing and supine

Caused by Joint laxity, body mass index(BMI), advanced osteoarthritis, etc.

Large preoperative JLCA may indicate postoperative differences in mechanical axis(MA) between the standing and supine positions postoperatively.

# Purpose



- The extent of postoperative differences in MA between the standing and supine position is unclear.
- The degree of preoperative JLCA to achieve acceptable differences in MA between postoperative standing and supine positions is unknown.

Purpose

To evaluate the differences in MA between the standing and supine positions in patient who received HTO under the surgical indication based on JLCA.

#### Hypothesis

Appropriate surgical indication and patient selection may minimize the difference in mechanical axis between standing and supine position.

## Materials & Methods

> 2016~2021 open wedge HTO or distal tuberosity osteotomy(DTO)

#### 69 cases, 71 knees(37 males, 32 females) Average age: 58.5 ± 7.8 HTO 46 cases, DTO 25 cases

Exclusion criteria

- ✓ Preoperative JLCA> 6° in standing position
- ✓ The difference in preoperative JLCA between standing and supine positions> 3°





## Materials & Methods





### Results %MA, JLCA







## Results $\Delta$ %MA

FIFA<sup>®</sup> | MEDICAL CENTRE



## Results ΔJLCA





FIFA<sup>®</sup> MEDICAL CENTRE

OF EXCELLENCE



## Discussion



The differences in MA between the standing and supine positions postoperatively.

		Standing	Supine	The cause of dif	ferences
*4, Tsuji et a	I. HKA	- 4.3 ± 2.5°	- 3.8 ± 1.8° *	Preoperative JLCA	
*5, Jang et a	I. %MA	63.9 ± 2.9%	57.9 ± 2.1%	Preoperative JLCA, BMI	
This Study	% <b>MA</b>	58.8 ± 6.9	59.0 ± 6.2 n.s		
This study					
N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N	In most cases(95.8%), the differences between standing and supine postoperative MA were between -5% and 5%. Appropriate patient selection could be done.				KOBE

## Discussion



Joint line convergence angle

> Preoperative JLCA  $\leq$  6° achieved optimal postoperative JLCA.

\*6, Weiping et al, Arch Orthop Trauma Surg. 2019

Lower preoperative JLCA in the standing position resulted in smaller differences in HKA between intraoperative navigation system and postoperative radiograph.

\*4, Tsuji et al, Arch Orthop Trauma Surg. 2020

Difference in JLCA between the supine and standing positions is the most important predictive factor of coronal correction error after HTO.

\*7, So SY et al, Knee Surg Sports Traumatol Arthrosc. 2020

This study



Preoperative standing JLCA <6° and preoperative  $\Delta$ JLCA <3° resulted in a smaller postoperative MA difference between the standing and supine positions.



# Conclusion

Appropriate surgical indication and patient selection may minimize the difference in mechanical axis between standing and supine position.

➤The differences in mechanical axis between the standing and supine positions after HTO were minimal in patient with preoperative standing JLCA <6° and ΔJLCA <3°.</p>





# References



\*1 Shin KH, Jung JK, Nam JJ, Jang KM, Han SB (2020). Arthroscopy, 36:1655–1664.
\*2 Jud L, Trache T, Tondelli T, Fürnstahl P, Fucentese SF, Vlachopoulos L (2020)Knee Surg Sport Traumatol Arthrosc, 28:3128–3134.

- \*3 Park JG, Kim JM, Lee BS, Lee SM, Kwon OJ, Bin S II (2020)Knee Surg Sport Traumatol Arthrosc 28:3164–3172.
- \*4 Tsuji M, Akamatsu Y, Kobayashi H, Mitsugi N, Inaba Y, Saito T (2020) Arch Orthop Trauma Surg 140:707–715.
- \*5 Jang KM, Lee JH, Cho IY, Park BK, Han SB (2017) J Arthroplasty 32:756–760.
  \*6 Ji W, Luo C, Zhan Y, Xie X, He Q, Zhang B (2019) Arch Orthop Trauma Surg 139:743–750.
- \*7 So SY, Lee SS, Jung EY, Kim JH, Wang JH (2020) Knee Surg Sport Traumatol 28:1516–1525.



