



Radiographic Tibial Tunnel Assessment After Anterior Cruciate Ligament Reconstruction Using Hamstring Tendon Autografts and Biocomposite Screws:

A Prospective Study with 10-Year Follow-up

SADESH BALASINGAM MD, IOANNIS KARIKIS MD PHD, MATTIAS AHLDEM MD PHD, LARS ROSTBARD-CHRISTENSEN MD, NINNI SERNERT RPT PHD, JURI KARTUS MD PHD

Disclosures

S. Balasingam: Nothing to disclose

I. Karikis: Nothing to disclose

L. Christensen: Nothing to disclose

M. Ahlden: Nothing to disclose

N. Sernert: Nothing to disclose

J. Kartus: ConMed Sweden, Course Lecturer

Background

- Metallic interference screws: proven fixation strength but risk complicating revision surgery^{1,2}
- - încreased tunnel widening? No clear consensus if this affects clinical outcomes⁴⁻⁷
 - Few studies have examined this in double-bundle ACL reconstruction⁸





Aims

- Radiographical assessment of the tibial tunnel 10+ years after ACLR
 - Hamstring autografts with single-bundle (SB) and double-bundle (DB) methods
- Hypothesis:
 - No tibial tunnel enlargement would be found 10 years after ACLR in either SB or DB patients.

UNIVERSITY OF GOTHENBURG | SAH

SAHLGRENSKA ACADEMY



- Anatomic SB and DB ACLR
- Biocomposite screw with 77% PLDLA and 23% β-TCP
- 52 SB + 53 DB patients at recruitment
 - \rightarrow 26 SB + 35 DB at 10yr follow-up
- Inclusion Criteria:
 - > 18 yrs, unilateral ACL injury at index surgery
- Exclusion Criteria:
 - Concomitant PCL injury
 - MCL/LCL injury >+1
 - Previous major knee surgery
 - Contralateral ACL injury before index surgery

Methods

- Plain x-rays: early post-op, 2-, 5-, 10-year
 - AP and lateral, knee 30° flexed.
 - width of tunnel measured at 3 points (1-3, 6-7)
 - Femoral screw head (4,5) used as width reference
- CT: 10-year
 - Tunnel volume





• Clinical: Pivot Shift, KT-1000 arthrometer

Results - Overview

Follow-up 122 months (range 113-134)

• No tibial tunnel enlargement in either group at 10-year follow-up

 No significant decrease in tibial tunnel width on AP or lateral x-rays from early post-op to 10-year follow-up (P = >.99) apart from DB group's AM tibial tunnel in AP view (P = 0.02)

Results – Causes of additional surgery during 10-year follow-up

	SB	DB	Significance
	26	35	
Meniscal problems	1	1	
Meniscal and			
Chondral damage	1	1	
Notchplasty	1	1	
Loose bodies	1	-	
Tibial interference screw removal due to cyst formation	1	-	No (0.18)
	Meniscal problems Meniscal and Chondral damage Notchplasty Loose bodies Tibial interference screw removal due to cyst formation	SB26Meniscal problems1Meniscal and-Chondral damage1Notchplasty1Loose bodies1Tibial interference1screw removal due to cyst formation-	SBDB2635Meniscal problems1Meniscal and-Chondral damage111Notchplasty1Loose bodies1Tibial interference1screw removal due to-cyst formation-

Results – Objective Measures

 No correlation between tunnel widths and knee laxity measured with KT-1000 arthrometer and Pivot Shift test

 Moderate but statistically significant correlation between SB tibial tunnel volume on CT and KT-1000 side to side difference (r= 0.46, p = 0.038)

Results – Change in Tunnel Width at 10-year Follow-Up

- 74% of SB plain x-rays → decrease in tibial tunnel width
 Mean volume 2.04cm³ (± 0.85 cm³) on CT
- 55% of DB plain x-rays → decrease in postero-lateral tibial tunnel width

- Mean volume 1.38 cm³ (± 0.54 cm³) on CT

66% of DB plain x-rays → decrease in antero-medial tibial tunnel width

- mean volume 2.04 cm³ (±1.92 cm³) on CT

Conclusions

- The majority of patients' tibial tunnels had decreased on 1 or both radiographic views at 10-year follow-up compared to early post-operative period
- Tibial tunnels were still visible in the majority of patients at 10-years, both on standard x-rays and CT imaging
- There may be reason to believe biocomposite screws with bioceramics such as β-TCP behave preferentially to PLLA- or PGA-based bioabsorbable screws¹⁰⁻¹³

Limitations

- No randomization for primary variable, no control group
- No measurement of material density at screw site → cannot comment on degradation of PLDLA/β-TCP screws used in this study.
- Underpowered sample size due to dropout over time





References

- 1. Lambert KL. Vascularized Patellar Tendon Graft with Rigid Internal-Fixation for Anterior Cruciate Ligament Insufficiency. Clinical Orthopaedics and Related Research. 1983:85-89.
- 2. Miller MD. Revision cruciate ligament surgery with retention of femoral interference screws. Arthroscopy. 1998;14:111-114.1.
- 3. Tibor L, Chan PH, Funahashi TT, Wyatt R, Maletis GB, Inacio MCS. Surgical Technique Trends in Primary ACL Reconstruction from 2007 to 2014. Journal of Bone and Joint Surgery-American Volume. 2016;98:1079-1089.
- 4. Shiwaku K, Suzuki T, Matsumura T, Takashima H, Otsubo H, Yamashita T. Bioabsorbable interference screws can be used with less tunnel widening in anatomic rectangular tunnel anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction with a bone-patellar-tendon-bone graft. *Knee.* 2020;27:1293-1299.
- Arama Y, Salmon LJ, Sri-Ram K, Linklater J, Roe JP, Pinczewski LA. Bioabsorbable Versus Titanium Screws in Anterior Cruciate Ligament Reconstruction Using Hamstring Autograft: A Prospective, Blinded, Randomized Controlled Trial With 5-Year Follow-up. American Journal of Sports Medicine. 2015;43:1893-1901.
- 6. Basson B, Philippot R, Neri T, Meucci JF, Boyer B, Farizon F. The effect of femoral tunnel widening on one-year clinical outcome after anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction using ZipLoop (R) technology for fixation in the cortical bone of the femur. *Knee*. 2016;23:233-236.
- 7. Ohori T, Mae T, Shino K, Tachibana Y, Yoshikawa H, Nakata K. Tibial tunnel enlargement after anatomic anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction with a bone-patellar tendon-bone graft. Part 2: Factors related to the tibial tunnel enlargement. Journal of Orthopaedic Science. 2020;25:279-284.
- 8. Kiekara T, Paakkala A, Suomalainen P, Huhtala H, Jarvela T. Femoral and Tibial Tunnel Diameter and Bioabsorbable Screw Findings After Double-Bundle ACL Reconstruction in 5-Year Clinical and MRI Follow-up. Orthopaedic Journal of Sports Medicine. 2017;5.
- 9. Ahlden M, Sernert N, Karlsson J, Kartus J. A Prospective Randomized Study Comparing Double- and Single-Bundle Techniques for Anterior Cruciate Ligament Reconstruction. American Journal of Sports Medicine. 2013;41:2484-2491.
- 10. Barber FA, Dockery WD. Long-term absorption of beta-tricalcium phosphate poly-L-lactic acid interference screws. Arthroscopy-the Journal of Arthroscopic and Related Surgery. 2008;24:441-447.
- 11. Barber FA, Dockery WD. Long-Term Degradation of Self-Reinforced Poly-Levo (96%)/Dextro (4%)-Lactide/beta-Tricalcium Phosphate Biocomposite Interference Screws. Arthroscopy-the Journal of Arthroscopic and Related Surgery. 2016;32:608-614.
- 12. Barber FA, Dockery WD, Hrnack SA. Long-Term Degradation of a Poly-Lactide Co-Glycolide/beta-Tricalcium Phosphate Biocomposite Interference Screw. Arthroscopy-the Journal of Arthroscopic and Related Surgery. 2011;27:637-643.
- Barber FA, Spenciner DB, Bhattacharyya S, Miller LE. Biocomposite Implants Composed of Poly(Lactide-co-Glycolide)/beta-Tricalcium Phosphate: Systematic Review of Imaging, Complication, and Performance Outcomes. Arthroscopy-the Journal of Arthroscopic and Related Surgery. 2017;33:683-689.