#### The Effects of Running on the Development of Knee Osteoarthritis: An Updated Systematic Review



Jaydeep Dhillon, BS Matthew J. Kraeutler, MD John W. Belk, BA Anthony J. Scillia, MD Eric C. McCarty, MD Jeremy K. Ansah-Twum, BS Patrick C. McCulloch, MD





### Introduction

- Osteoarthritis (OA) is the most common joint disorder in the United States and is a leading cause of disability in the elderly population
- Several risk factors for knee OA have been identified including age, obesity, occupation, and trauma to the joint due to repetitive movements such as kneeling or squatting. Other factors including cytokines, leptin, and mechanical forces are pathogenic components of knee OA.
- However, the association between physical activity such as running and the development of knee OA is less transparent, as some believe running increases the risk of knee OA, while others believe it is actually protective.





### Introduction

A meta-analysis published in 2017 with a total of 6,197 patients found no significant differences in patient-reported outcomes (PROs) between runners and non-runners. While the authors reported mixed outcomes with regards to the presence of knee OA, there did appear to be a protective effect of running. The current systematic review builds upon this previous review with 7,944 additional patients included for a more robust set of clinical findings.

#### **Running and Knee Osteoarthritis**

#### **A Systematic Review and Meta-analysis**

Kate A. Timmins,<sup>†</sup> PhD, Richard D. Leech,<sup>†</sup> MSc, Mark E. Batt,<sup>†‡</sup> MB BChir, DM, FFSEM, and Kimberley L. Edwards,<sup>\*†§</sup> PhD Investigation performed at the University of Nottingham, Nottingham, UK





Sports Medicine

### Purpose

 To perform an updated systematic review of the literature to determine the effects of running on the development of knee OA.







## Methods

- Systematic review conducted according to PRISMA guidelines
- Searched PubMed, Embase, Cochrane Library
- Search terms used were: *knee AND osteoarthritis AND (run OR running OR runner)*
- Study inclusion criteria:
  - Clinical studies evaluating the effect of cumulative running on the development of knee OA or chondral damage based on imaging or patient-reported outcomes (PROs)
  - Study exclusion criteria:
    - Non-human or non-comparative studies
    - Studies unrelated to the knee joint





### Methods

Outcomes assessed included:

- Total knee replacements
- PROs
  - Knee pain
  - Knee Injury and Osteoarthritis Outcome Score (KOOS)
  - Health Assessment Questionnaire Disability Index (HAQ-DI)
- Radiological outcomes
  - Presence of radiographic knee OA using Kellgren-Lawrence Scale and Ahlback criteria
  - Magnetic resonance imaging (MRI)





- 17 studies met inclusion criteria including a total of 14,141 patients
  - 7,194 runners and 6,947 non-runners
- Patient age averaged 56.2 and 61.6 years in the runner and non-runner groups, respectively
- Mean follow-up time was 55.8 months and 99.7 months in the runner and non-runner groups, respectively
- Mean body mass index was 26.7 kg/m<sup>2</sup> and the overall percentage of males was 58.5%





| Study                                | Level of<br>Evidence | n (Run, Non) | Patient Age (Run, Non), y<br>(Range) | Follow-up (Run,<br>Non), mos | BMI (Run, Non),<br>kg/m <sup>2</sup> | Gender, %<br>male |
|--------------------------------------|----------------------|--------------|--------------------------------------|------------------------------|--------------------------------------|-------------------|
| Felson et al, 2007 <sup>2</sup>      | II                   | 1,279; 0     | 53.2 (26.0-81.0)                     | 8.8 ± 1.04                   | 27.4                                 | 44.0              |
| Horga et al, 2019 <sup>4</sup>       | II                   | 71, 11       | 44.0 ± 8.5, 44.0 ± 7.0 (25.0-73.0)   | 7.5, 7.5                     | 25.2 ± 3.6, 24.2 ± 2.2               | 50.2              |
| Kujala et al, 19946                  | II                   | 2,049; 1,403 | NR                                   | NR                           | NR                                   | 100.0             |
| Kujala et al, 1995 <sup>7</sup>      | II                   | 117, 0       | 59.1 (45.0-68.0)                     | NR                           | 22.8, NR                             | 100.0             |
| Kujala et al, 1999 <sup>8</sup>      | II                   | 269, 179     | 58.5, 60.3 (47.0-71.0)               | 132.0, 132.0                 | 23.2, 25.5                           | 100.0             |
| Mosher et al, 2010 <sup>13</sup>     | II                   | 22, 15       | 40.0, 37.0                           | NR                           | 23.7, 25.4                           | 47.8              |
| Chakravarty et al, 2008 <sup>1</sup> | III                  | 45, 53       | 59.8 ± 1.0, 60.2 ± 1.0 (50.0-72.0)   | 216.0, 216.0                 | 22.3 ± 0.3, 24.0 ± 0.5               | 67.3              |
| Konradsen et al, 1990 <sup>5</sup>   |                      | 27, 27       | 58.0, 57.0 (50-68)                   | NR                           | 22.9, 23.9                           | 100.0             |
| Lo et al, 2017 <sup>9</sup>          | Ш                    | 778; 1,859   | 62.0 ± 8.4, 65.3 ± 9.0               | 96.0, 96.0                   | 27.9 ± 4.7, 28.8 ± 5.0               | 44.2              |
| Lo et al, 2018 <sup>10</sup>         | 111                  | 138; 1,065   | 62.9 ± 7.3, 63.2 ± 8.0               | 96.0, 96.0                   | 28.4 ± 4.0, 29.6 ± 4.7               | 45.3              |
| Manninen et al, 2001 <sup>11</sup>   |                      | 281, 524     | NR                                   | NR                           | NR                                   | NR                |
| Muhlbauer et al, 2000 <sup>14</sup>  | Ш                    | 9, 9         | 27.4 ± 3.3, 22.2 ± 1.9               | NR                           | 22.4 ± 1.1, 23.1 ±<br>3.1            | 100.0             |
| Sandmark et al, 1999 <sup>15</sup>   | III                  | 1,173; 0     | NR                                   | NR                           | NR                                   | 50.2              |
| Spector et al, 1996 <sup>16</sup>    | Ш                    | 81, 977      | 52.3 ± 6.1, 54.2 ± 6.0 (40.0-67.0)   | NR                           | 22.1 ± 2.8, 25.6 ± 4.3               | 0.0               |
| Thelin et al, 2006 <sup>17</sup>     |                      | 825, 825     | NR (51.0-70.0)                       | NR                           | NR                                   | 43.2              |
| Greaves et al, 2021 <sup>3</sup>     | IV                   | 16, 0        | 30.8 ± 6.3                           | 1.5                          | 22.9 ± 1.6                           | 56.3              |
| Miller et al, 2014 <sup>12</sup>     | IV                   | 14, 0        | 25.0 ± 11.0                          | NR                           | 24.2                                 | 50.0              |
| Total                                | -                    | 7,194; 6,947 | 56.2, 61.6                           | 55.8, 99.7                   | 26.7, 28.0                           | 58.5              |





- Six studies<sup>7-10,16</sup> assessed presence of knee pain at final follow-up
- One study found a significantly higher risk of knee OA progressing to total knee replacement (TKR) among nonrunners (4.6% versus 2.6%, p = 0.014).

| Knee Pain                         |                   |                     |          |  |  |  |  |  |
|-----------------------------------|-------------------|---------------------|----------|--|--|--|--|--|
| Study                             | Runner            | Non-Runner          | p-value  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lo et al, 2018 <sup>10</sup>      | 33/123 (26.8%)    | 293/1,009 (29.0%)   | 0.61     |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lo et al, 2017 <sup>9</sup>       | 274/775 (35.4%)   | 1,093/1,859 (58.8%) | < 0.0001 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Kujala et al, 1995 <sup>7</sup>   | 23/117 (19.7%)    | -                   | N/A      |  |  |  |  |  |
| Kujala et al, 1999 <sup>8</sup>   | 27/264 (10.2%)    | 24/179 (13.4%)      | 0.30     |  |  |  |  |  |
| Spector et al, 1996 <sup>16</sup> | 27/81 (33.3%)     | 248/994 (24.9%)     | 0.096    |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total                             | 384/1,360 (28.2%) | 1,658/4,041 (41.0%) | < 0.0001 |  |  |  |  |  |





| Radiographic Findings                |                        |                  |                     |         |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|--------------------------------------|------------------------|------------------|---------------------|---------|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Study                                | <b>Outcome Measure</b> | Prevalence (Run) | Prevalence (Non)    | p-value |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Felson et al, 2007 <sup>2</sup>      | TF JSN                 | 222/2,259 (9.8%) | -                   | N/A     |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lo et al, 2018 <sup>10</sup>         | Medial JSN             | 40/205 (19.5%)   | 378/1,063 (23.6%)   | >0.05   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Spector et al, 1996 <sup>16</sup>    | TF JSN                 | 28/81 (34.6%)    | 359/911 (36.7%)     | >0.05   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Spector et al, 1996 <sup>16</sup>    | PF JSN                 | 11/81 (13.6%)    | 27/215 (12.6%)      | <0.05   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Spector et al, 1996 <sup>16</sup>    | Osteophytes (TF joint) | 18/81 (22.2%)    | 145/977 (14.8%)     | <0.05   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Spector et al, 1996 <sup>16</sup>    | Osteophytes (PF joint) | 34/81 (42.0%)    | 60/215 (28%)        | <0.05   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lo et al, 2017 <sup>9</sup>          | ROA                    | 416/778 (53.5%)  | 1,093/1,859 (58.8%) | >0.05   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lo et al, 2017 <sup>9</sup>          | SOA                    | 177/778 (22.8%)  | 547/1,859 (29.4%)   | >0.05   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Chakravarty et al, 2008 <sup>1</sup> | ROA                    | 9/45 (20.0%)     | 17/53 (32.1%)       | >0.05   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Kujala et al, 1995 <sup>7</sup>      | ROA                    | 31/117 (26.5%)   | -                   | N/A     |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Kujala et al, 1995 <sup>7</sup>      | Osteophytes (TF joint) | 11/117 (9.4%)    | -                   | N/A     |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Kujala et al, 1995 <sup>7</sup>      | Osteophytes (PF joint) | 22/117 (18.8%)   | -                   | N/A     |  |  |  |  |  |  |

TF = tibiofemoral, JSN = joint space narrowing, PF = patellofemoral, ROA = radiographic osteoarthritis, SOA = symptomatic osteoarthritis





#### **Discussion/Conclusion**

- Based on the results of our systematic review, we found a significantly higher prevalence of knee pain in the non-runner group.
- Multiple studies<sup>1,9,10,16</sup> found no significant differences in the prevalence of radiographic knee OA (based on TF/PF JSN or Kellgren-Lawrence grade) between runners and non-runners.
- Two studies<sup>9,10</sup> assessed the risk of progression of knee OA to TKR, with one<sup>9</sup> finding a significantly lower risk of TKR among runners compared to non-runners.
- Running is not associated with worsening of patientreported outcomes or radiological signs of knee OA and may actually be protective against generalized knee pain.





This study was published in the March 2023 issue of the Orthopaedic Journal of Sports Medicine (OJSM)

# Effects of Running on the Development of Knee Osteoarthritis

#### An Updated Systematic Review at Short-Term Follow-up

Jaydeep Dhillon,\* BS, Matthew J. Kraeutler,<sup>†#</sup> MD, John W. Belk,<sup>‡</sup> BA, Anthony J. Scillia,<sup>§||</sup> MD, Eric C. McCarty,<sup>¶</sup> MD, Jeremy K. Ansah-Twum,<sup>‡</sup> BS, and Patrick C. McCulloch,<sup>†</sup> MD *Investigation performed at Rocky Vista University College of Osteopathic Medicine, Parker, Colorado, USA* 





Journal of Sports Medicine

#### References

- 1. Chakravarty EF, Hubert HB, Lingala VB, Zatarain E, Fries JF. Long distance running and knee osteoarthritis. A prospective study. Am J Prev Med. 2008;35(2):133-138. PMID: 18550323
- 2. Felson DT, Niu J, Clancy M, Sack B, Aliabadi P, Zhang Y. Effect of recreational physical activities on the development of knee osteoarthritis in older adults of different weights: the Framingham Study. Arthritis Rheum. 2007;57(1):6-12. PMID: 17266077
- 3. Greaves H, Comfort P, Liu A, Lee H, Richard J. How effective is an evidence-based exercise intervention in individuals with patellofemoral pain? Phys Ther Sport. 2021;51(9):92-101. PMID: 34303900
- 4. Horga LM, Henckel J, Fotiadou A, et al. Can marathon running improve knee damage of middle-aged adults? A prospective cohort study. BMJ Open Sport Exerc Med. 2019;5(1):e000586. PMID: 31673407
- 5. Konradsen L, Hansen EM, Søndergaard L. Long distance running and osteoarthrosis. Am J Sports Med. 1990;18(4):379-381. PMID: 2403186
- 6. Kujala UM, Kaprio J, Sarna S. Osteoarthritis of weight bearing joints of lower limbs in former élite male athletes. BMJ. 1994;308(6923):231-234. PMID: 8111258
- 7. Kujala UM, Kettunen J, Paananen H, et al. Knee osteoarthritis in former runners, soccer players, weight lifters, and shooters. Arthritis Rheum. 1995;38(4):539-546. PMID: 7718008
- 8. Kujala UM, Sarna S, Kaprio J, Koskenvuo M, Karjalainen J. Heart attacks and lower-limb function in master endurance athletes. Med Sci Sports Exerc. 1999;31(7):1041-1046. PMID: 10416567
- 9. Lo GH, Driban JB, Kriska AM, et al. Is There an Association Between a History of Running and Symptomatic Knee Osteoarthritis? A Cross-Sectional Study From the Osteoarthritis Initiative. Arthritis Care Res (Hoboken). 2017;69(2):183-191. PMID: 27333572
- 10. Lo GH, Musa SM, Driban JB, et al. Running does not increase symptoms or structural progression in people with knee osteoarthritis: data from the osteoarthritis initiative. Clin Rheumatol. 2018;37(9):2497-2504. PMID: 29728929
- 11. Manninen P, Riihimaki H, Heliovaara M, Suomalainen O. Physical exercise and risk of severe knee osteoarthritis requiring arthroplasty. Rheumatology (Oxford). 2001;40(4):432-437. PMID: 11312383
- 12. Miller RH, Edwards WB, Brandon SC, Morton AM, Deluzio KJ. Why don't most runners get knee osteoarthritis? A case for per-unit-distance loads. Med Sci Sports Exerc. 2014;46(3):572-579. PMID: 24042311
- 13. Mosher TJ, Liu Y, Torok CM. Functional cartilage MRI T2 mapping: evaluating the effect of age and training on knee cartilage response to running. Osteoarthritis Cartilage. 2010;18(3):358-364. PMID: 19948266
- 14. Mühlbauer R, Lukasz TS, Faber TS, Stammberger T, Eckstein F. Comparison of knee joint cartilage thickness in triathletes and physically inactive volunteers based on magnetic resonance imaging and three-dimensional analysis. Am J Sports Med. 2000;28(4):541-546. PMID: 10921647
- 15. Sandmark H, Vingård E. Sports and risk for severe osteoarthrosis of the knee. Scand J Med Sci Sports. 1999;9(5):279-284. PMID: 10512208
- 16. Spector TD, Harris PA, Hart DJ, et al. Risk of osteoarthritis associated with long-term weight-bearing sports: a radiologic survey of the hips and knees in female exathletes and population controls. Arthritis Rheum. 1996;39(6):988-995. PMID: 8651993
- 17. Thelin N, Holmberg S, Thelin A. Knee injuries account for the sports-related increased risk of knee osteoarthritis. Scand J Med Sci Sports. 2006;16(5):329-333. PMID: 16978252



